A meeting of Council will be held Monday August 27, 2012 in the Council Chambers, Town Hall, Collingwood commencing at **5:00pm**.

**ORDER OF BUSINESS**

1. **CALL OF COUNCIL TO ORDER**

2. **ADOPTION OF AGENDA**
   - THAT the content of the Council Agenda for August 27th, 2012 be adopted as presented.

3. **DECLARATIONS OF PECUNIARY INTEREST AND/OR RECEIPT OF GIFT (over $200)**

4. **a) ADOPTION OF MINUTES**, for the regular meeting of Council held August 13th, 2012. *(errors & omissions) (p.4)*

   **b) BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE PREVIOUS MINUTES**

5. **COMMUNITY ANNOUNCEMENTS**

6. **PUBLIC MEETING**

   6.1 **Proposed Zoning By-law Amendment - Side Launch Brewery (formerly known as Hen & Chickens Brewery)**

   The proposed Zoning By-law Amendment pertains to land located on the south side of Mountain Road and is legally described as Part of the North Half of Lot 44, Concession 10, Town of Collingwood, County of Simcoe addressed municipally as 200 Mountain Road, Collingwood. The land is presently zoned as Industrial Park (M5) including a Holding Three (H3) holding zone symbol as per the Town of Collingwood Zoning By-law No. 2010-040, as amended.

   **THE PURPOSE AND EFFECT** of the proposed Zoning By-law Amendment is to rezone a portion of the land to an Industrial Park Exception (M5-E) zone. The proposed M5-E zone would permit the establishment of a brewery including uses accessory thereto such as, but not limited to, the retail sale of beer, ale, malt liquor, related products and promotional equipment, catering services and the preparation of food for consumption on a portion of the property. The proposed Zoning By-law Amendment would also remove the Holding Three (H3) holding zone symbol from the entire property.
7. **DEPUTATION**

- **Central Park Multi-Use Recreation Facility**, Anthony Da Silva, Ameresco Vice President and Chief Operating Officer
- **Central Park Multi-Use Recreation Facility**, Paul Cadieux, Friends of Central Park, Collingwood

8. **CONSENT AGENDA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Consent Items</th>
<th>Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A.1 Jack Merwin re: Mobility Needs Survey in Partnership with Breaking Down Barriers (p.10)</td>
<td>Receive for Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.2 Claire Tucker-Reid re: Personal Comparison of Central Park Multi-Use Recreation Facility and Separate Recreation Facility Designs (p.20)</td>
<td>Receive for Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.3 Petition re: Admiral Collingwood Public School Access through Collingwood Trails System (p.25)</td>
<td>Receive for Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.4 Clearview Township re: notice of Clearview Council Position and Resolution on the WPD Fairview Wind Project (Report available in Clerk’s Office) (p.38)</td>
<td>Receive for Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.5 Ministry of Community Safety and Correctional Services re: Spring 2012 Forest Fires Support (p.41)</td>
<td>Receive for Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.6 Brian Saunderson Letter re: Central Park Multi-Use Recreation Facility, Comparison and Support (p.42)</td>
<td>Receive for Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.7 Central Park Multi-Use Recreation Facility E-mail Correspondence (p.44)</td>
<td>Receive for Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.8 Rob Armstrong, Chief Executive Officer, YMCA of Simcoe Muskoka re: Central Park Multi-Use Recreation Facility Aquatics Complex (p.58)</td>
<td>Receive for Information</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. **MOVE INTO COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE** *(Staff Reports/By-laws if deemed expedient)*

10. **REPORTS/MINUTES OF COMMITTEES/BOARDS**

- THAT the Downtown Collingwood BIA Board of Management minutes of June 27, 2012 be hereby received.  *(p.60)*

11. **STAFF REPORTS**

**EMC2012-01  Centennial Pool and Arena Pad Operations** *(p.66)*

**RECOMMENDING THAT** Council receive staff report EMC 2012-01,

**AND FURTHER THAT** Council direct staff to proceed with the purchase and construction of an Insulated Architectural Membrane facility for a year-round single pad ice arena at Central Park, maintaining 2 ball diamonds, the outdoor ice rink, the lawn bowling facility, and additional green space – while keeping the option to twin the new arena at a future date;

**AND FURTHER THAT** Council direct staff to proceed with the purchase and construction of an Insulated Architectural Membrane structure over the existing Outdoor Pool including the removal and reconstruction of the existing building, in order to provide a year-round pool to meet the community’s aquatic and competitive swimming needs.

**2012 Second Quarter Financial Review, Marjory Leonard, Treasurer**
RECOMMENDING THAT Council enact and pass amending Zoning By-law No. 2012-098.

• THAT By-law 2012-098, being a by-law under the provision of Section 34 of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, C. P.13, as amended be enacted and passed this 27th day of August, 2012. (p.90)

12. MOTIONS

• THAT the Accounts Payable Revenue Vouchers for the month of July, 2012 in the amount of $2,030,334.54 be approved as presented.

• THAT this Council proceeds in Camera in order to address a matter pertaining to:
  - personal matters about an identifiable individual, including municipal or local board employees;
  - the security of the property of the municipality or local board;
  - a proposed or pending acquisition or disposition of land by the municipality or local board;

    a) Terminal Presentations
    b) Accessibility Advisory Committee

13. BY-LAWS

• THAT By-law 2012-099, being a by-law to appoint members to the Accessibility Advisory Committee be enacted and passed this 27th day of August, 2012. (p.93)

14. NOTICE OF MOTION

15. OLD or DEFERRED BUSINESS

16. OTHER BUSINESS

17. CONFIRMATORY BY-LAW

• THAT By-law No. 2012-100, being a by-law to confirm the proceedings of the regular meeting of Council held August 27th, 2012 be enacted and passed this 27th day of August, 2012. (p.94)

18. ADJOURNMENT
TOWN OF COLLINGWOOD

COUNCIL MINUTES

August 13, 2012

"Inspire confidence, wonder and a sense of possibility – deliver today's services and realize tomorrow's promise."

A meeting of Council was held Monday August 13, 2012 in the Council Chambers, Town Hall, Collingwood commencing at 5:00pm.

MAYOR COOPER CALLED COUNCIL TO ORDER

Members of Council Present:

Mayor Cooper
Deputy Mayor Lloyd
Councillor Edwards
Councillor Lloyd
Councillor Chadwick
Councillor Hull
Councillor West
Councillor Cunningham
Councillor Gardhouse

Staff Present:

Ed Houghton, Acting Chief Administrative Officer
Sara Almas, Clerk
Larry Irwin, Director of Information Technology
Marta Proctor, Director of Leisure Services
Marjory Leonard, Treasurer
Nancy Farrer, Director of Planning Services
Trent Elyea, Fire Chief

ADOPTION OF AGENDA

No. 356 Moved by Deputy Mayor Lloyd
Seconded by Councillor Edwards

THAT the content of the Council Agenda and Addendums for August 13th, 2012 be adopted as presented.

CARRIED

DECLARATIONS OF PECUNIARY INTEREST AND/OR RECEIPT OF GIFT (over $200) - Nil

ADOPTION OF MINUTES

No. 357 Moved by Councillor Edwards
Seconded by Deputy Mayor Lloyd

THAT the minutes of the regular meeting of Council held July 30th, 2012, be approved as presented.

CARRIED

BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE PREVIOUS MINUTES - Nil
COMMUNITY ANNOUNCEMENTS

- Council reported on various community events they had attended and announced upcoming events.

PUBLIC MEETING

**Proposed Zoning By-law Amendment – 60 Madeline Drive**

Mayor Cooper welcomed those in attendance and introduced the Public Meeting format.

Clerk Sara Almas confirmed that notice has been provided with respect to the planning applications being heard this evening, in accordance with the Planning Act. Notice was provided July 20, 2012 in the Enterprise Bulletin.

Nancy Farrer, Director of Planning Service, introduced Senior Planner Trevor Houghton. Mr. Houghton reviewed the application and reviewed the comments received to date.

The proposed Zoning By-law Amendment pertains to land located on the south side of Madeline Drive and is legally described as Part of Lot 51, Concession 12, Town of Collingwood, County of Simcoe and is municipally addressed as 60 Madeline Drive. The land is presently zoned as Environmental Protection (EP) in the Town of Collingwood Zoning By-law No. 2010-040, as amended.

The purpose and effect of the proposed Zoning By-law Amendment is to rezone a portion of the land from Environmental Protection (EP) to a Residential First Density Exception (R1-E) zone. The proposed R1-E zone would establish a building envelope on the property for residential purposes, and could also include special exceptions such as an increased minimum lot frontage, increased minimum lot area and enhanced minimum building openings requirements.

Mayor Cooper called three times for comments from the public. There were no comments from the public on this matter.

Mr. Houghton addressed questions with respect to ensuring protection of the EP lands. Councillor Chadwick requested a copy of the Grey Sauble Conservation Authority report for this area.

The public meeting closed at 5:24pm.

DEPUTATION

- **Municipal Facilities – Solar Installation**
  
  Doug Switzer, Vice President, Business Development and Mike Arksey, Manager of Sales, PowerStream Inc. provided a review of the proposed solar installations on municipal facilities, and lease requirements. Questions were addressed with respect to procurement requirements, liability and insurance requirements for rooftop solar units, roof capacity and self heating mechanisms. Acting CAO Houghton confirmed that a staff report will be prepared for Council’s consideration for municipal facilities in Collingwood.

CONSENT AGENDA

**No. 358 Moved by Councillor Lloyd**

**Seconded by Councillor Hull**

THAT the General Consent Agenda, having been given due consideration by Council, be received.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>General Consent Items</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A.1 Monte McNaughton, MPP, Lambton-Kent-Middlesex re: Bill 76, Act o Amend the</td>
<td>Receive for Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario Lottery and Gaming Act of 1999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.2 The Collingwood Leisure Time Club re: 25th Anniversary Celebrations</td>
<td>Receive for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.3</td>
<td>County of Simcoe re: advising that effective August 1, 2012 the cost for green bins are $15, unless municipalities agree to subsidize for their communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.4</td>
<td>City of Thunder Bay re: requesting disaster relief support for the flood experienced in May 2012.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CARRIED**

A.2 **Collingwood Leisure Time Club re: 25th Anniversary Celebrations**

Council requested the item be further discussed to determine if the Town could assist with their celebrations in 2013.

A.3 **County of Simcoe re: advising that effective August 1, 2012 the cost for green bins are $15, unless municipalities agree to subsidize for their communities**

Clarification was provided to the replacement of damaged bins. Council requested the matter be considered during budget discussions to provide new home owners with a free green bin, with the Town covering the cost of the bin.

A.4 **City of Thunder Bay re: requesting disaster relief support for the flood experienced in May 2012.**

*No. 359 Moved by Councillor Lloyd*
*Seconded by Councillor Chadwick*

**THAT** Council provide a donation of $1000.00 to the City of Thunder Bay’s Thunder Bay Disaster Relief Fund to assist in the May 2012 flood disaster relief efforts.

**CARRIED**

**STAFF REPORTS**

CPU2012-02  A By-law to replace By-law 04-29 establishing the Collingwood Public Utilities Service Board to expand the scope of Collingwood Public Utilities to include Wastewater Services

*No. 360 Moved by Deputy Mayor Lloyd*
*Seconded by Councillor Lloyd*

**THAT** Staff Report CPU2012-02, recommending Council enact and pass By-law No. 2012-096 to re-establish the Collingwood Public Utilities Service Board, to expand the scope of Collingwood Public Utilities to include Wastewater Services and repeal By-law 04-29, be received.

**CARRIED**

*No. 361 Moved by Deputy Mayor Lloyd*
*Seconded by Councillor Edwards*

**THAT** By-law No. 2012-096, being a by-law to re-establish the Collingwood Public Utilities Services Board for the Corporation of the Town of Collingwood, be enacted and passed this 13th day of August, 2012.

**CARRIED**
THAT Staff Report P2012-30 be hereby received;

AND FURTHER THAT Council direct Staff to forward Staff Report P2012-30 to County of Simcoe representatives as the report contains Town’s comments regarding the Draft Proposed Modified County of Simcoe Official Plan.

CARRIED

PW2012-12 Award of Quotation RFQ # PW2012-07, Demolition of Buildings at 5 Huron Street

THAT Staff Report PW2012-12, recommending Council accept the Quotation of OSC Constructors ULC for the Demolition of 5 Huron Street at a cost of $249,117.00 plus HST, be approved;

AND FURTHER THAT Council authorize the Mayor and Clerk to sign all necessary documents to execute the contract.

CARRIED

MOTIONS

THAT Council approve the use of the port for the war of 1812 Tall Ship celebrations in 2013.

CARRIED

THAT Council direct staff to continue to pursue discussions with, but not limited to, the Town of The Blue Mountains, Blue Mountain Resort & Village, County of Grey, and BIA to engage our neighbours to the west in a similar successful partnership as the Wasaga Beach Transit Link.

CARRIED

THAT this Council proceeds in Camera, as amended, in order to address a matter pertaining to:

- personal matters about an identifiable individual, including municipal or local board employees;
- the security of the property of the municipality or local board;
- a proposed or pending acquisition or disposition of land by the municipality or local board;

Items form Discussion:

a) Director for COLLUS/PowerStream Board
b) Road Allowance Update (Old Lakeshore Road)
c) Land Acquisition Negotiations Update
d) Committee/Board Member(s) Role

CARRIED

NOTICE OF MOTION

- Councillor Hull provided a notice of motion requesting a report with respect to potential opportunities and a review of the affordable housing partnership for the property at 145 High Street. *Matter will be held until a deputation from the County is provided.

COUNTY REPORT

- Deputy Mayor Lloyd reiterated the comments and concerns as presented by Nancy Farrer, Director of Planning Services with respect to the County Official Plan. In addition, Deputy Mayor Lloyd noted that the County will be undertaking a governance review and further updated on the changes to the green bin program. AMO meetings have been established together with the County. It was also requested that an update on Bill 140 be provided at an upcoming meeting.

OLD or DEFERRED BUSINESS

- Pending List – The pending list was reviewed and no concerns were raised.

OTHER BUSINESS

- Councillor Cunningham requested a staff report for development of a policy to regulate rental of municipal facilities with respect to temporary commercial uses.
- Councillor Edwards inquired to the need for additional parking signs along Pine, Ste. Marie and St. Paul Streets and the installation of parking metres along St. Paul Street.

Council proceeded to in-camera session as previously resolved. (7:22pm)

Moved by Councillor West
Seconded by Councillor Chadwick

THAT Council rise from in-camera and return to public session. (8:14pm)

CARRIED

No. 367 Moved by Councillor Edwards
Seconded by Deputy Mayor Lloyd

THAT Council hereby waive the procedural requirements for notice of motion to permit the consideration of a motion to appoint members to the COLLUS Power Stream Board of Directors.

CARRIED

No. 368 Moved by Councillor Chadwick
Seconded by Deputy Mayor Lloyd

THAT Council hereby appoint the following to the COLLUS Power Stream Board of Directors.

- David McFadden – 2 year term
- David Garner – 3 year term

CARRIED
CONFIRMATORY BY-LAW
No. 369 Moved by Councillor Gardhouse
Seconded by Councillor West

THAT By-law No. 2012-097, being a by-law to confirm the proceedings of the regular meeting of Council held August 13th, 2012, be enacted and passed this 13th day of August, 2012.

CARRIED

ADJOURNMENT

Moved by Councillor Chadwick

THAT the meeting of Council be hereby adjourned at 8:19pm.

CARRIED

______________________________
MAYOR

______________________________
CLERK
Attn: Your Worship and Members of Council

Re: Letter to Collingwood Council regarding the Mobility Survey

The purpose of the information provided in this letter is to update Council on the two major initiatives that are currently ongoing with Collingwood (the Collingwood Accessibility Plan and to ensure compliance with the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act) and to introduce the Mobility Needs Survey as an avenue to assess their progress and effectiveness.

The Accessibility Plan

The Collingwood Accessibility Plan was written by the Collingwood Accessibility Advisory Committee and presented to the Council. The Plan is driven by both the present and future demographics and the required compliance to the AODA Standards. The Plan outlines the past accomplishments. It also builds on the Town of Collingwood Strategic Plan and recognizes its four main goals.

  a) to strengthen our economy
  b) to manage growth and create great spaces
  c) to ensure a livable, healthy, sustainable community
  d) to enhance our arts, culture, heritage and recreational opportunities.

The Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA )

This second initiative refers to our need to comply with the Standards as outlined within the AODA. Catherine Sholtz is driving this through her association with Breaking Down Barriers and the Collingwood Accessibility Advisory Committee. We are all aware of AODA. Updated information can be found at www.accesson.ca.

The Mobility Needs Survey

In order to assess the progress of these two initiatives, it is felt that we need to know what the needs are in the community. This Survey is designed to look at the needs of people who have mobility issues. This would include individuals who rely on wheelchairs, scooters, walkers and individuals with vision disabilities. The purpose of this survey is to find out the current and future needs and to get an idea as to how many people have these needs.

Issues addressed in the Survey are: Accessible Housing, Mobility Aids, Secondary Medical Complications, Accessible Transportation, Healthy Living Programs, Employment, Meeting with Peers, Accessibility in Sports, AODA Legislation, Financial Assistance and Community Services.
Getting feedback on these issues will allow the Town of Collingwood and specific community organizations to better address the identified needs through more effective policies, practices and procedures.

The present status of the Survey – it has been reviewed by several individuals as to its content and design. It has also been completed by several individuals, who have mobility issues, as to the comfort and ease of completing it. Decisions have to be made as to the distribution and return of the hard copies of the survey and I will be looking for assistance to computerize the process.

Respectfully Yours,

Jack Merwin
MOBILITY NEEDS SURVEY

An Initiative of Breaking Down Barriers.

Our objective is to gather information about current and future needs related to mobility problems. There are many ways people can lose their ability to walk or perform the tasks of daily living. The information gathered will be used in planning by Breaking Down Barriers or by other organizations where the findings might be addressed.

In order to provide effective feedback we require the following:

Name:
Address:
E-mail and/or phone:
Disability/Disabilities:
Your age category:
  O Under 25
  O 25 – 44
  O 45 – 64
  O 65 - 74
  O 75 +

SURVEY PROCEDURES:

(To be discussed and finalized when survey approved)

  - Hard Copy distribution and return
  - Computer
  - Time frames to be set
SURVEY QUESTIONS:

The following questions are about issues that we would like you to rate and provide comments on.

Where appropriate in your answers, please circle 1 to 5 with 1 being less important and 5 being most important and circle Yes or No where appropriate.

Please provide comments to help explain your rating.

Thank you for your participation.

1. ACCESSIBLE HOUSING

1(a) Is it important to have living quarters that are modified to satisfy your mobility needs?  
   (less) 1 2 3 4 5 (most)

1(b) Are your present living quarters modifications meeting your needs?
   Yes - No

1(c) Comments:
2. MOBILITY AIDS

2(a) Do you require an ongoing supply of mobility equipment, medical supplies or technical aids? Yes - No

2(b) Are you presently having any difficulty sourcing all your needs? Yes - No

2(c) Are you aware of the financial assistance available to you to acquire the above items? Yes - No

2(d) Comments:

3. SECONDARY MEDICAL COMPLICATIONS

3(a) How important is it to you to have information about secondary medical or emotional complications that you may be experiencing? 1 2 3 4 5

3(b) Do you require more information with your secondary medical or emotional complications? Yes - No

3(c) Comments:
4. ACCESSIBLE TRANSPORTATION

4(a) How important is it for you to have accessible transportation? 1 2 3 4 5
4(b) How well are your transportation needs being met? 1 2 3 4 5
4(c) Are you aware of low/no cost accessible transportation options? Yes - No
4(d) Comments: (schedules, fares, availability, accessibility)??

5. HEALTHY LIVING PROGRAMS

5(a) How interested are you in attending information sessions/programs about the following?

Recreation: 1 2 3 4 5
Nutrition & Weight: 1 2 3 4 5
Exercise: 1 2 3 4 5
Medications: 1 2 3 4 5
Alcohol & Substance Abuse: 1 2 3 4 5
Aging: 1 2 3 4 5

Financial Planning focused on benefits for those with disabilities: 1 2 3 4 5

5(b) Comments: (include any topics you would like added)
6. Employment

6(a) How important is it for you to be employed? 1 2 3 4 5
6(b) Do you want help regarding training or counselling for employment? Yes - No
6(c) Do you want help obtaining employment? Yes – No
6(d) Comments:

7. Meeting with Peers

7(a) How important is it for you to meet with peers to reduce isolation and to discuss issues/needs/wishes relevant to your disability? 1 2 3 4 5
7(b) Comments:

8. Accessibility in Sports

8(a) There are people with mobility challenges who participate in these accessible sports. How interested are you in being contacted about these sports programs?

Golf 1 2 3 4 5
Curling 1 2 3 4 5
Nordic Skiing 1 2 3 4 5
Alpine Skiing 1 2 3 4 5
Sledge Hockey 1 2 3 4 5
Fishing 1 2 3 4 5
Archery 1 2 3 4 5

8 (b) Comments: (Any other sports?)

9. AODA LEGISLATION

9 (a) How aware are you about how the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA) addresses accessibility issues in your community? 1 2 3 4 5

9 (b) Comments:
10. **FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE**

10 (a) Many are unaware of all the financial supports that are available to assist people with disabilities. How aware are you about the following financial assistance programs?

- Ontario Disability Support Program (ODSP): 1 2 3 4 5
- Assistance for Children with Severe Disabilities (ACSD): 1 2 3 4 5
- Disability Pensions: 1 2 3 4 5
- Disability Tax Credit: 1 2 3 4 5
- Registered Disability Savings Plans (RDSP): 1 2 3 4 5
- Care Giver Tax Credits: 1 2 3 4 5
- Assistive Devices Program (ADP): 1 2 3 4 5
- Home Adaptation Grants: 1 2 3 4 5
- Henson / Disability Expense Trusts: 1 2 3 4 5

10 (b) How interested would you be in attending programs to learn more about these financial assistance programs? 1 2 3 4 5

10 (c) Comments:
11. COMMUNITY SERVICES

11(a) How would you rate your overall awareness of programs and services available in the South Georgian Bay area to support people with mobility issues?  1  2  3  4  5

11(b) What services, organizations or activities are you currently accessing that keep you independent and involved in your community?

11(c) What gaps in service pertaining to mobility issues are you aware of in your community?

11(d) Based on your identified gaps in service, what programs would you like to see implemented in your community?
TO BE READ INTO THE PUBLIC RECORD REGARDING RECREATION FACILITIES IN COLLINGWOOD – COUNCIL MEETING MONDAY AUGUST 27TH, 2012

Dear Madame Mayor and Members of Council;

I continue to be very pleased that the issue of the provision of recreation facilities for the residents of Collingwood is a high priority for this Council. As you know the Phase One Steering Committee was charged with the task of developing a design scenario for Central Park only. Having been a co-chair of the Steering Committee for Phase One of the project was a privilege and allowed me the opportunity to hear firsthand what is important to the residents. New options (and there are many) on the table are a good thing and I look forward to the detailed comparative analysis of 2 of the options to be presented on August 27th, 2012. I am respectful of the work at hand to compare the merits and challenges of each facility scenario, capital and operating costs of the two facility types – a multi-purpose recreation community centre versus 3 separate buildings (one indoor pool at Heritage Park, refurbish Eddie Bush and build a separate ice pad at Central Park). I would like to offer my comments as a resident, an expert and a long time professional and consultant in this field to ensure that the comparisons receive the due diligence they deserve. I offer you the following information to assist with the process and it goes without saying that I would be pleased to continue my volunteerism and assist in any way possible.

Capital Budget
The comparative factors must include:

- Site servicing costs for all facilities; these are included in the Central Park capital budget

- Parking space requirements, and cost to develop/expand parking at Heritage Park – an indoor pool will require significant parking.
- A 20% contingency was applied to the Central Park Community Recreation Centre of 6M dollars and therefore would need to be applied to the combined costs of the other projects. If the cost as reported by the media is 10M dollars, an additional 2M dollar cost factor would need to be applied to compare apples to apples (or take the 6M dollar contingency out of the Central Park capital budget reducing the costs to 28M).

- The legislative requirement of the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act requires full access at both the indoor pool scenario and to the Eddie Bush arena.

- The pool at Central Park included a therapy pool for our older adults/persons with disabilities and a teaching pool for children, this must be factored either into the costs of the covered outdoor pool or removed from the costs for the Central Park complex.

- The arenas in the Central Park conceptual design included a walking track and seating for 500. These design elements must be either included in any new design or the budget costing removed from the Central Park capital costing to ensure and ‘apples to apples” comparison.

- If the costs for temporary structures are remotely close to the costs for the permanent structure; we must consider the lifespan of the structure types. The public will need to see this detail in order to provide further input.

- I look forward to seeing the preceding comparators in the analysis.

Operating Budget Comparison
I have completed an operating cost analysis of the two different facility scenarios. As stated during my deputation before Council at the last meeting to discuss this issue; there are clear efficiencies with operating multiple facilities under one roof; one crew of three staff versus three crews of nine staff in total, one ice resurfacing machine (@ $75,000 each) versus two, shared administration, energy efficiencies between facility types and most importantly one stop shopping for young families, the elderly and disabled residents. The following budget figures reflect true operating costs for both facility scenarios. I can state these numbers with great confidence as I was responsible for 870 recreation facilities in my position as General Manager of Parks and Recreation for the City of Toronto and through my consulting business for many municipalities in
Ontario the size of Collingwood. The figures for Eddie Bush and a twin pad have been taken from the Central Park Development Project Report and are reflective of actual costs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OPTION 1 - CENTRAL PARK COMMUNITY CENTRE</th>
<th>OPTION 2 - THREE SEPERATE FACILITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eddie Bush Arena</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>373,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor Pool</td>
<td>60,000. Operating deficit covered by the YMCA</td>
<td>380,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Pad Arena</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double Pad Arena</td>
<td>270,000</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Annual Losses</strong></td>
<td><strong>$330,000.</strong></td>
<td><strong>$953,900</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

You can surmise very quickly that the Community Recreation Centre scenario through the partnership with the YMCA and the efficiency of a new twin pad arena is the most fiscally responsible scenario for the taxpayers of Collingwood. An additional $625,000 would have to be levied to the taxpayer on an annual basis to support three different recreation facilities in three different locations.

**Planning Issues**
The planning issues for the Heritage Park pool site are significant and need to be addressed before this option can be considered viable.

- The height restrictions must be addressed as the height of a temporary building covering the pool will impede neighbouring resident’s view of the park/ mountain and access to sunlight, as well we suspect the coverage will come very close to the road and may require a setback variance. At the very least a public meeting must be held with neighbouring residents to receive their thoughts on this issue.

- The parking requirements for the pool will be significant; the bathing capacity of 170 swimmers will need to be addressed as part of the use of the park, which is already programmed quite heavily (baseball, Dog Park, BMX track, new fire hall etc.).
Public Input
The Central Park recreation community centre plan went out to the public to hear their views in a comprehensive and multi-pronged approach including;
- A blog site where people were kept current on the project and could continually offer their comments
- 2 electronic survey for all residents
- A community stakeholder survey
- 2 sets of interview rounds with key sport, recreation, senior and children’s groups
- 2 public meetings

Any other options including phasing, and the scenario of 3 separate buildings in three separate locations need to receive the same public input process for the Central Park scenario to properly and fairly allow the voice of the public to be heard. Any new scenarios that represent a drastic and dramatic change from the Central Park scenario will require significant opportunities for input.

It should be noted that at no time in the Phase One process did we hear that urgency is a primary concern to the development of facilities. Residents know that facility developments of this magnitude take time and the overwhelming message was to keep all the amenities together under one roof to provide for many uses at the same time for families, young children, persons with disabilities and seniors and further an important direction was that common spaces needed to be included in order to build community pride and cohesion.

Temporary Structures
In my research to investigate temporary structures, I spoke with John Frittenburg of the JF Group who is one of Canada’s foremost consultants on sport and recreation facilities. His clear guidance to us was to complete significant research on these structures as they can be as costly as permanent structures and are not all conducive to a recreation and sport setting. Some have been known to create internal rain and health and safety issues due to air handling concerns in pool and arena settings.

Infrastructure Funding
At the most recent consultations on the next round of infrastructure funding the governments were offered the following advice by the Parks and Recreation Ontario (professional organization representing parks, recreation and culture in Ontario) – “Encourage municipalities to get their community centre projects ground ready (working drawings) so that they are eligible for funding, have had a chance to put their own funding reserves aside and are therefore able to expedite their projects.”
General Comments
I want to reiterate the fact that many municipalities struggle with the dilemma of fixing up ageing facilities and/or developing new ones. The capital costs (not having to replace facilities shortly after spending funds to refurbish them); the operating efficiencies (multi-facilities under one roof / staffing/ best use of the tax dollar) and meeting the long term needs of the residents are clearly the deciding factors and in most cases have won out the day.

Proposed Council Motion
I would offer you the following motion for consideration:

“That Council complete the development of a funding model for the Central Park Recreation Community Centre through a Phase Two Steering Committee and consider other facility development options including phasing if and only if a successful funding model cannot be developed,

That a complete comparative analysis be developed of priority options for consideration including capital and operating costs, impact on the tax payer, including public consultation responses garnered in the process and recommended next steps,

And further that the Phase Two Steering Committee report back to Council by December 31\textsuperscript{st} 2012 on their findings.”

Closing Comments
There seems to be significant interest and energy surrounding the issue of developing the right community recreation centre for Collingwood. It is time that we use this collective energy for the greater good of the residents. I strongly suggest that a public meeting to gather input on the options at hand would be a timely and inclusive gesture. I would be happy to assist in facilitating this meeting to capture a common vision and the best approach forward for Collingwood.

Respectfully Submitted,

Claire Tucker-Reid
To the Honourable Mayor Sandra Cooper and the Collingwood Town Councillors, and to members of the Simcoe County District School Board:

We, the undersigned, respectfully request from the Town of Collingwood and the Simcoe County District School Board that the back entrance to Admiral Collingwood Public School be made accessible from the Collingwood Trail system that runs behind the school’s property.

Opening this entrance and making it accessible will greatly benefit the children of our community. It will provide them with safe access to the school’s property without having to cross and travel along highly trafficked streets. Currently, there are over 50 Admiral Collingwood students from the Sunvale Riverside subdivision and another dozen or so who will be attending Admiral Collingwood in the very near future. Further, the subdivision continues to expand every month, thus student growth in that respect cannot be specified except that it WILL increase.

To get to school, these children must cross Collins Street, then cross the intersection of Collins/Sproule/Lockhart, and lastly Lockhart Street at Dey Drive, the only place along the route where a crossing guard is located. Allowing access to Admiral Collingwood Public School from the Collingwood Trail system would eliminate the need for children from the Riverside subdivision to have to cross any of these busy streets and intersections. It would also allow children from other areas of the community, such as Bush Street, Dillion Drive, and Godden Street to access the school via the trail system, removing their walks along busy traffic ways. In addition, it will reduce access to the school by climbing the fence or through the pesticide laden cornfield that runs parallel to Admiral’s southern fence line.

Another benefit to opening a rear entrance to Admiral Collingwood Public School would be the elimination of motor vehicles dropping off and picking up students. For anyone who has experienced this, it is quite a chaotic and sometimes dangerous experience. Reducing the amount of traffic in the Admiral Collingwood parking lot makes arrival and departure safer for everybody.

Being able to walk or bike to school promotes a healthy, active lifestyle. Studies also show that even brief periods of physical activity increase cognition. Children using the Collingwood Trail system to access Admiral Collingwood will reap the health benefits of walking or bicycling which in turn can lead to greater success in the classroom.

We acknowledge that while the train tracks are currently unusable as a result of the recent work to Poplar Sideroad, we understand that there is potential for future development of a rail system, and should this happen that the rear entrance to the property might become inaccessible once more.

From the Town of Collingwood, we respectfully request that a usable entrance be made from the existing trail to the rear entrance of the Admiral Collingwood property. For example, clearing of brush and the installation of a bridge, similar to the one that connects Minnesota Street to the Collingwood Trail, that leads to the school to ensure a safe route.

From the Simcoe County District School Board, we respectfully request that the section of wooden fence at the rear of the property be removed or altered (ie. the installation of a gate) to allow children access to the property.

We thank you for consideration of this proposal.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>SIGNATURE</th>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>PHONE NUMBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chris Young, OCT</td>
<td>CH Y</td>
<td>52 Williams St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim Bolleas, OCT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phil. McLaughlin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zora McLaughlin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kelly Cookson</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Caccaniga</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larry Farrell</td>
<td></td>
<td>54 Williams St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maureen Duffy</td>
<td></td>
<td>50 Williams St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zaida S. Mehanis</td>
<td></td>
<td>14 Williams St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raymond Mehanis</td>
<td></td>
<td>14 Williams St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dan Grimwood</td>
<td></td>
<td>31 Williams St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Grimwood</td>
<td></td>
<td>31 Williams St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean Pierre</td>
<td></td>
<td>51 Williams St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kimberly Mehanis</td>
<td></td>
<td>19 Lynden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jessica Farrell</td>
<td></td>
<td>54 Williams St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brev Speed</td>
<td></td>
<td>56 Williams St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamie Boccia</td>
<td></td>
<td>60 William St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tammy Bocci</td>
<td></td>
<td>60 William St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Carson</td>
<td></td>
<td>8 Williams St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Signature</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victoria Grant</td>
<td></td>
<td>13 Williams St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krista Campbell</td>
<td></td>
<td>8 Williams St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ashley Williams</td>
<td></td>
<td>24 Williams St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James E.</td>
<td></td>
<td>24 Williams St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyle Crawford</td>
<td></td>
<td>36 Williams St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethany Crawford</td>
<td></td>
<td>360 Williams St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matthew Murray</td>
<td></td>
<td>45 Williams St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiffany Murray</td>
<td></td>
<td>45 Williams St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sam Mintz</td>
<td></td>
<td>419 Peel St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jessica Marshall</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 Williams St</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAME</td>
<td>SIGNATURE</td>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td>PHONE NUMBER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Lesage</td>
<td></td>
<td>499 Peel St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Quat</td>
<td></td>
<td>497 Peel St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Rollings</td>
<td></td>
<td>491 Peel St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rachel Rollings</td>
<td></td>
<td>491 Peel St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krista Hammond</td>
<td></td>
<td>487 Peel St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruth Quiroz</td>
<td></td>
<td>481 Peel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret Johnson</td>
<td></td>
<td>57 McKean Cres.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samantha Dickie</td>
<td></td>
<td>5 Lynden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pauline Ottoman</td>
<td></td>
<td>453 Peel St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angela Kovanov</td>
<td></td>
<td>21 McKean Cres.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamie Kennedy</td>
<td></td>
<td>5 McKean Cres.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kristen Ireland</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 Williams St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Ireland</td>
<td></td>
<td>4 Williams St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Carter</td>
<td></td>
<td>18 Williams St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barbara Barosa</td>
<td></td>
<td>18 Williams St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chris Marshall</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 Williams St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligia Al Molyno</td>
<td></td>
<td>57 Williams St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miriam Franchetti</td>
<td></td>
<td>57 Williams St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C.A. Franchetti</td>
<td></td>
<td>57 Williams St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Molyneux</td>
<td>57 Williams St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anna Whiteside</td>
<td>4 Herrington Ct</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Susan Goncalves</td>
<td>41 Williams St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janine Wait</td>
<td>62 Williams St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dennis Steer</td>
<td>62 Williams St</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAME</td>
<td>SIGNATURE</td>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td>PHONE NUMBER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim Jones</td>
<td></td>
<td>58 Williams</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JEFF JONES</td>
<td></td>
<td>58 Williams</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanessa Ferris</td>
<td></td>
<td>55 Williams</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chad Jackson</td>
<td></td>
<td>19 Lynden St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jason Lloyd</td>
<td></td>
<td>20 Lynden St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarah Spoor</td>
<td></td>
<td>56 Williams St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cathy Connors</td>
<td></td>
<td>18 Lynden St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aark Conners</td>
<td></td>
<td>14 Lynden St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brad Kimms</td>
<td></td>
<td>12 Lynden St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teri Yelle</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 Lynden St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisa Verbeek</td>
<td></td>
<td>10 Lynden Apt 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Lunbeck</td>
<td></td>
<td>8 Lynden St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen ORDAHAI</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 Lynden St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharon Rice</td>
<td></td>
<td>7 Lynden St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rob Fitch</td>
<td></td>
<td>11 Lynden St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don Deane</td>
<td></td>
<td>35 Spruile Ave</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Blachstock</td>
<td></td>
<td>15 Lynden St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevin Metropolitan</td>
<td></td>
<td>45 McKean Cres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erin Martin</td>
<td></td>
<td>53 McKean Cres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jennifer Howatt</td>
<td>Steve Witth</td>
<td>52 Mckean Cres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melissa Abbott-Sprad</td>
<td>Jan Loring-Sprad</td>
<td>3 Mckean Cres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laura Kennedy</td>
<td>S. Kennedy</td>
<td>5 Mckean Cres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaclyn Edwards</td>
<td>Edwards</td>
<td>9 Mckean Cres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ann Booth</td>
<td>S. Book</td>
<td>10 Mckean Cres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Witth</td>
<td>Julie Ait</td>
<td>11 Mckean Cres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan E. Knox</td>
<td></td>
<td>19 Mckean</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sherry Wibby</td>
<td></td>
<td>27 Mckean Cres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennie King</td>
<td></td>
<td>29 Mckean Cres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ryan Chandle</td>
<td></td>
<td>21 Mckean Cres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAME</td>
<td>SIGNATURE</td>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
<td>PHONE NUMBER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rick Layner</td>
<td></td>
<td>14 McKean Cres.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moda Belgrade</td>
<td></td>
<td>16 Lynden St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steve Ferris</td>
<td></td>
<td>55 Williams St.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Admiral Collingwood Elementary School
18 Dey Dr
COLLINGWOOD, ON L9Y 4N9
(705) 445-0811

Directions and maps are informational only. We make no warranties on the accuracy of their content, road conditions or route usability or expeditiousness. You assume all risk of use. MapQuest and its suppliers shall not be liable to you for any loss or delay resulting from your use of MapQuest. Your use of MapQuest means you agree to our Terms of Use.
August 14, 2012

Charlie Tatham, Chair
Collingwood Regional Airport Services Board
Town of Collingwood
P.O. Box 157, 97 Hurontario Street
Collingwood, Ontario
L9Y 3Z5

Dear Chair Tatham,

RE: COUNCIL POSITION AND RESOLUTION ON THE WPD FAIRVIEW WIND PROJECT

At its meeting on August 13, 2012, Township of Clearview Council passed a resolution concerning the proposed WPD Fairview Wind Project. A certified copy of this resolution has been attached hereto for your records. Also attached is the staff report dated July 27, 2012 that was considered as part of Council’s deliberations on this proposal.

This report and the endorsed recommendations therein form the Municipality’s formal input and position on the 8-turbine WPD proposal at this time. The Township is in support of your pursuit of Federal zoning regulation as part of the continued development of the regional airport.

Please contact me with questions or if you require any further information.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Mayor Ken Ferguson
/ac

cc: S. McKenzie, CAO
    M. Wynia, Director of Planning, Development & Information Services
NOTWITHSTANDING Council’s general support of green energy initiatives, Council, in the interest of public health, economic prosperity and quality of life, hereby declares that it does not support the construction of the wpd Fairview Wind Project and requests that the Province of Ontario not issue an approval for this project; and further,

THAT, given the announcement of a study into the health effects of wind energy developments by Health Canada, Council hereby requests that the Province enact an immediate moratorium on further approval of large scale wind energy developments, such as the wpd Fairview Wind Project, until such time as the Health Canada study has been completed and there is adequate opportunity for all levels of government and the public to review, consider and act upon the findings and conclusions of the study; and further,

THAT Council approve the initiation of the Clearview Heritage Landscape Conservation Project by directing staff to initiate the process of seeking a heritage landscape designation under the Ontario Heritage Act; and further;

THAT Council direct staff to draft a Nuisance By-Law under the Municipal Act to deal with the potential nuisance and annoyance impacts of wind turbines, as well as other developments or activities that may create similar nuisance, for its consideration; and further;
THAT Council hereby directs the Township Treasurer investigate for amending the Development Charges By-law to apply to industrial wind turbine and other green energy project construction; and further,

THAT Council request that the Collingwood Regional Airport Committee consider making a request to Transport Canada for Federal zoning regulation of our aerodrome and that Council declare its endorsement of such a request and will seek support from our local MP; and further,

THAT Council endorses the recommended conditions for the wpd Fairview Wind Project, as outlined in Appendix G, and request that the approval authority integrate these recommendations into any approvals granted should the Province ignore the position of Council with respect to this project and Council's request for a moratorium on wind energy proposals

MOTION CARRIED

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOTION LOST

[Signatures]

*Mayor*

[Seal]

[Certificate]

[Seal]

[Seal]
July 18, 2012

Mayor's Office
P.O. Box 157, 97 Hurontario St.,
Collingwood, ON L9Y 3Z5

RE: SPRING 2012 FOREST FIRES

Dear Mayor:

In mid May, northern sections of the province were faced with a number of significant forest fires that had the potential for the evacuation of several larger communities. Municipal and Northern Fire Protection Program fire fighters were vigilantly watching the fire situation and were obviously concerned for the wellbeing of their community and their families.

Recognizing the hardship this would have on these communities a call was made to the fire co-ordinator in Simcoe County and York Region to determine that if needed, a contingent of fire fighters and sustaining equipment could be sent to these northern communities under the Mutual Aid Plan to provide the support as required.

The Office of the Fire Marshal is aware that many municipal officials went out of the way and met and provided direction and support to their fire chief and department to ensure that the required assistance could be sent thereby reducing the potential difficulty these fire fighters and communities could face.

Fire Marshal Ted Wielewak and the Office of the Fire Marshal would like to thank your municipality, your fire chief and the fire fighters of your community for your support. While prepared to respond, weather conditions became more favourable and the additional resources were not required.

The OFM believes that the best mutual aid system is the one we have here in Ontario and the contributions made by municipalities like yours makes it that way.

Sincerely

Dennis Gannon
Operations Manager
Madame Mayor Cooper
Members of Collingwood Council
Ms. Sara Almas, Clerk
P.O. Box 157
97 Hurontario Street
Collingwood, Ontario
L9Y 3Z5

August 19, 2012

Madame Mayor and Councillors;

As a Collingwood resident, father, coach, sports administrator and two time Olympian in the sport of rowing, I am keenly interested in the future of the recreation and sport infrastructure in our community. The benefits of recreation and sport and the importance to individual health for all segments of the population as well as the health and vitality of a community are long-standing and well documented. It is for this reason that I applied to be a member of the Steering Committee for the Central Park Recreation Facility and I was honoured to serve on that Committee as a Co-Chair.

As you know the Steering Committee was struck in May of 2011 at Council’s direction to examine the feasibility of redeveloping Central Park with recreation facilities to meet the primary needs of the community for arena and pool uses. The Committee worked diligently over a ten month period and engaged in extensive public consultations including 2 online surveys (219 & 225 responses), 14 stakeholder group interviews, 2 public meetings (100 & 120 participants), a blogsite, a radio interview, a television show, and newspaper and email notices. The Steering Committee presented its final report to Council on March 5, 2012 and on March 19, 2012, Council unanimously adopted all of the Committee’s recommendations in a recorded vote.

The projected cost of the Central Park facility is $34.6 million and while this is a significant expenditure, it is in the order of magnitude of cost that a community can expect to pay for a facility of this size. In the last 5 years several communities the size of Collingwood have built similar facilities with comparable price tags (Innisfil - $37 million and Woolwich - $28 million, are just two examples). It should be noted that the Central Park cost projection includes a 20% contingency which means the projected facility costs are approximately $28 million. That was the cost projection for the previous multi-use facility the Town explored in 2002.

What is noteworthy about the new facility but has received little attention is that it would realize a net operating cost savings of $75,000 annually. The current operating budget for the Eddie Bush arena and outdoor ice pad is approximately $325,000. The new double ice pad would have annual operating costs of approximately $250,000 which is a significant reduction. Even more noteworthy is...
that the Town residents will have access to two pools, namely a six lane 25 metre pool and a therapeutic pool at no cost to the Town as the YMCA would be assuming all operating costs for the pool facilities. These operating costs are conservatively estimated to be $375,000 to $400,000 annually.

The bottom line is that the Town will save approximately $750,000 operating the Central Park facility over a ten-year period compared to its current operating costs for the Eddie Bush and the outdoor ice pad alone. More importantly, the residents of Collingwood will have access to two new indoor ice pads and two pool tanks, the 25 metre, six lane pool and the therapeutic pool. These are significantly enhanced facilities at a significant operational savings.

Knowledge is power and it is prudent to look at and consider alternatives. I commend Council for being thorough, responsible and cautious in looking at other alternatives. But it is essential that the same level of scrutiny and diligence be applied to researching these alternatives and capital cost and operating cost budgets must be developed with the same parameters and considerations to ensure that the comparisons are thorough, fair and transparent.

One of the most prevalent and common comments I received during my work with the Steering Committee was how excited the people were at the level of public consultation and engagement in the process. Collingwood’s new recreation facility is a significant community undertaking, one that will serve the needs of our residents for generations to come and one that will be a source of community pride. The residents of this Town are engaged and excited about the new facility. In considering alternatives, it is essential that the public be included and consulted as part of that process. The process for the alternatives must be the same as it was in the initial process, open, fair and transparent.

I commend Council for it’s continued interest in making a new recreation facility a reality. It is a critical issue and I trust that Council will take the time to consider the options, gather the proper information to make legitimate comparisons and engage the public in consultations before making any decision.

I remain committed to developing a new recreation facility in this community and am prepared to assist in any way I can.

Yours very truly,

“Brian Saunderson”
Hi Scott

Thanks for the note and the opportunity to comment on what this group is proposing. This the first I have heard of the Friends of Central Park and don’t really know where they are coming from. Basically I don’t agree with them for a number of reasons which I will get to.

As you are no doubt aware I was a member of the Steering Committee which was tasked with the duty to investigate the feasibility of putting a facility in Central Park. It wasn’t long before I realized again for a number of reasons that I wasn’t going to agree with the direction in which they were going so, rather than being a negative voice, i chose to resign. I hated to do this as I admired the people on the committee particularly Brian Saunderson who really put his heart into it.

I first became involved with the possibility of a Multi-use facility probably 20 years ago and since have been involved with several committees. The most recent debacle was about 7 years ago when we had everything in place except the political will of several councillors. As you are also aware this was to be on a great 100 acre site on the 10th line.

My first difficulty with proposal is the cost. The Town is in no position at the present time or in the foreseeable future to commit itself to a cost of at least 35 million. If grants were available it might be a consideration but with the cut backs that are being proposed I think any significant funds will be a long time coming.

Secondly, I think Central Park is simply too small for a facility of this nature. To accommodate sufficient parking, the ball diamonds would have to be relocated. This would add to the cost and the thought of not having these facilities is just unthinkable. Also the proposed arena has a very small seating capacity which would not even come close to replaced our existing arena. This could be enlarged but only by significantly increasing the cost.

As I said earlier we have been at this for a long time and I just feel it is about time to make something happen. Waiting around for the money to appear is not an option.

I admire the effort of Paul Cadieux and his committee because, I think they want a facility and are willing to work toward one. I do believe, however, that they have made some wrong assumptions. I believe that the Council accepted the report of the Steering Committee but don’t think they endorsed it. The suggested covering of the pool in Heritage Park could hardly be considered a temporary structure. The indicated life expectancy is at least 30 years and I don’t think that’s too bad.

A hockey school in Alberta has several rinks built by the same firm that has made the proposal to the Town. This was endorsed by Bob Nicholson who is the President of the Canadian Hockey Association (CHA). A friend of mine is the Past President of the CHA and I phoned him to get his read on the structures. He checked with Bob who very enthusiastically endorsed the structures from every point of view.

I’m sorry to be so long wined about this whole thing but I’m afraid we have waited long enough. Let’s get something built so that we and our children can enjoy them. We have had to use an inadequate pool for too long. The thing to do would be to build these structures and then make preparations for a larger and more adequate structure when the Town grows and funds become available.
Hi Rick:
As a veteran politician, it seems you understand the importance of fiscal responsibility.

I thought I would let you know what I am hearing about the Central Park project. A few neighbours got together on the weekend and the subject came up regarding the group that wants to spend 35 million on the project. Not one of us was in favour of this kind of spending, not one! Fridas paper tells us a lobby group will push to have the project move ahead. This is the same vocal small minority that have wanted the goodies all along, a six lane olympic size pool. give me a break! For a town of 20,000 people we have adequate facilities lets fix them up and leave it at that. Thirty five million is way to much to spend so please hold the line and dont do it.

I liked your campaign issues - your stand on run-away debt, which you estimated to be over $50 million at the time, and the adverse affect it will have on taxpayers. The current debt load is going to put increased pressure on our tax bills over the next couple of years. I would put it to you that the bulk of the 35 million project is a want rather than a need. We cant afford it! If we took a poll of the Collingwood population I think we would see 70-80% against this project-especially if they understand the tax impact of such an expense. Just thought you would appreciate some public feedback

Cheers, Diana and Ray Porter
From: Rob Thorburn
Date: 23 August, 2012 9:44:31 AM EDT
To: Sandra Cooper <scooper@collingwood.ca>, Rick Lloyd <rlloyd@collingwood.ca>, Mike Edwards <medwards@collingwood.ca>, Kevin Lloyd <klloyd@collingwood.ca>, Ian Chadwick <ichadwick@collingwood.ca>, Keith Hull <khull@collingwood.ca>, Dale West <dwest@collingwood.ca>, Sandy Cunningham <scunningham@collingwood.ca>, Joe Gardhouse <jgardhouse@collingwood.ca>
Subject: Central Park

Collingwood Council;

I am aware that Town Council will be discussing alternatives to the Central Park Project at your August 27th meeting. These alternatives include putting a temporary building around the outdoor pool at Heritage Park, building a single pad arena at Central Park and making repairs at the Eddie Bush arena. The estimated cost of these temporary facilities has been reported at more than $10M dollars.

As you know, the Central Park Project plan was generated from countless hours of work by your Steering Committee with input by various community and stakeholder groups. The plan was endorsed by Council on March 19th, 2012, but it has yet to fulfill the recommendations.

I urge you to stay on course with the recommendations of the Steering Committee report and to consider the following:

1. Do it once and do it right! – If you do choose to spend millions of dollars on temporary solutions the chance of a community facility will never be realized.

2. It’s our tax dollars; consult us - Get back to the public on the temporary solutions and do not make a decision in isolation. All options must be weighed by the public before a decision should be made.

3. We deserve the best, so figure it out - Collingwood’s recreational infrastructure is inadequate - current and future generations deserve a full recreation community centre on one site. Council represents the public and must consider their needs.

4. There is no real urgency, stay the course – Many communities in Ontario have similar recreation centres and have thought bigger by embracing a community vision. We are asking Council to think big and make certain that we are ready to build once an Infrastructure program is announced. There is no rush, stay the course.

Please don’t waste good money after bad, listen to your tax payers

Sincerely,

Rob Thorburn Jr.
From: Jennifer Christie 
Date: 20 August, 2012 12:10:24 PM EDT
To: Sandra Cooper <scooper@collingwood.ca>, Rick Lloyd <rlloyd@collingwood.ca>, Mike Edwards <medwards@collingwood.ca>, Kevin Lloyd <klloyd@collingwood.ca>, Ian Chadwick <ichadwick@collingwood.ca>, Keith Hull <khull@collingwood.ca>, Dale West <dwest@collingwood.ca>, "scummingham@collingwood.ca" <scummingham@collingwood.ca>, Joe Gardhouse <jgardhouse@collingwood.ca>
Subject: Central Park Collingwood

I am aware that Town Council will be discussing alternatives to the Central Park Project at your August 27th meeting. These alternatives include putting a temporary building around the outdoor pool at Heritage Park, building a single pad arena at Central Park and making repairs and at Eddie Bush arena. The estimated cost of these temporary facilities has been reported at more than $10M dollars.

As you know, the Central Park Project plan was generated from countless hours of work by your Steering Committee with input by various community and stakeholder groups. The plan was endorsed by Council on March 19th, 2012, but it has yet to fulfill the recommendations.

I urge you to stay on course with the recommendations of the Steering Committee report and to consider the following:

1. **Do it once and do it right!** – If you do choose to spend millions of dollars on temporary solutions the chance of a community facility will never be realized.

2. **It's our tax dollars; consult us** - Get back to the public on the temporary solutions and do not make a decision in isolation. All options must be weighed by the public before a decision should be made.

3. **We deserve the best, so figure it out** - Collingwood’s recreational infrastructure is inadequate - current and future generations deserve a full recreation community centre on one site. Council represents the public and must consider their needs.

4. **There is no real urgency, stay the course** – Many communities in Ontario have similar recreation centres and have thought bigger by embracing a community vision. We are asking Council to think big and make certain that we are ready to build once an Infrastructure program is announced. There is no rush, stay the course.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Christie
From: Chris Skelton  
Sent: Wednesday, August 22, 2012 11:38 PM  
To: Sandra Cooper; Rick Lloyd; Mike Edwards; Kevin Lloyd; Ian Chadwick; Keith Hull; Dale West; Sandy Cunningham; Joe Gardhouse  
Subject: Central Park

Mayor Cooper and Members of Council,

I'm really disappointed to hear that you are considering what is in my opinion an expensive band aid solution to meet some of the recreational needs of our town. Here is why:

1) The proposal that the Central Park Committee has proposed might seem expensive. But I don’t get why we would spend 10 million now on a temporary solution. After spending a million bucks a year for 10 years will the “permanent” solution be any more affordable? Will interest rates be any less than they are now? And will the relatively higher operating costs for the temporary solution make the permanent solution any more affordable 10 years down the road? My fear is that one temporary solution will lead to another and another and we will be stuck with 2nd rate facilities well beyond 10 years.

2) It is premature to call the Committee’s plan expensive until funding sources for the Committee’s plan have been fully sought out. To go a step further at this stage and commit the community to expensive temporary solutions makes no sense.

3) The Committee has done an excellent job of involving and consulting with the public in the process of developing their proposal. It appears as though you as a council might turn your backs on that very public process and make a decision that may appear as a short term gain but in reality be a long term pain to the community. That would be wrong – please keep the process open by working with and through the Committee.

I ask that you put the discussion of a temporary solution on hold until you have sought out funding sources and partnerships for the Committee’s proposal. If this costs $40,000. so be it. That is little compared to the cost of any solution – temporary or permanent.

Best Regards,

Chris Skelton  
George Street  
Collingwood
From: Doug Wansbrough  
Sent: Monday, August 20, 2012 04:23 PM  
To: Sandra Cooper; Rick Lloyd; Mike Edwards; Kevin Lloyd; lan Chadwick; Keith Hull; Dale West; Sandy Cunningham; Joe Gardhouse  
Subject: Central Park Rec Complex - $10 million on temporary fixes - is this a joke?

Dear Collingwood Council,

I am aware that Town Council will be discussing alternatives to the Central Park Project at your August 27th meeting. These alternatives include putting a temporary building around the outdoor pool at Heritage Park, building a single pad arena at Central Park and making repairs and at Eddie Bush arena. The estimated cost of these temporary facilities has been reported at more than $10M dollars.

As you know, the Central Park Project plan was generated from countless hours of work by your Steering Committee with input by various community and stakeholder groups. The plan was endorsed by Council on March 19th, 2012, but it has yet to fulfill the recommendations.

I urge you to stay on course with the recommendations of the Steering Committee report and to consider the following:

1. **Do it once and do it right!** - If you do choose to spend millions of dollars on temporary solutions the chance of a community facility will never be realized.

2. **It's our tax dollars; consult us** - Get back to the public on the temporary solutions and do not make a decision in isolation. All options must be weighed by the public before a decision should be made.

3. **We deserve the best, so figure it out** - Collingwood’s recreational infrastructure is inadequate - current and future generations deserve a full recreation community centre on one site. Council represents the public and must consider their needs.

4. **There is no real urgency, stay the course** - Many communities in Ontario have similar recreation centres and have thought bigger by embracing a community vision. We are asking Council to think big and make certain that we are ready to build once an Infrastructure program is announced. There is no rush, stay the course.

Don't plan to band-aid with temporary solutions...PLEASE!!!!  
Build us a legacy recreation centre we can be proud of.

Doug Wansbrough  
- Maple Street  
Collingwood, ON
Hi Sandra

I know I don't in the town limits any more. However I was born and raised in Collingwood (Paul's best friend from Maple St). I think council's plan to fix up the Eddy and build a single pad by the curling club is a great idea. Why spend a huge amount when you can spend a small amount for the same thing.

Mike Willette
From: Eric O’Brien  
Sent: Wednesday, August 22, 2012 09:04 PM  
To: Sandra Cooper; Rick Lloyd; Mike Edwards; Kevin Lloyd; Ian Chadwick; Keith Hull; Dale West; Sandy Cunningham; Joe Gardhouse  
Subject: Central Park

Madame Mayor and Councillors;

Continue to be responsible, open and transparent in your deliberations about Central Park!

Consult the public and let us have a say about the future of recreation in our community!

$10 million plus and triple the current operating costs is too much for temporary facilities that are subpar!

Do it once and do it right, for us your constituents and taxpayers!

Regards,

Eric O’Brien
I am aware that Town Council will be discussing alternatives to the Central Park Project at your August 27th meeting. These alternatives include putting a temporary building around the outdoor pool at Heritage Park, building a single pad arena at Central Park and making repairs and at Eddie Bush arena. The estimated cost of these temporary facilities has been reported at more than $10M dollars.

As you know, the Central Park Project plan was generated from countless hours of work by your Steering Committee with input by various community and stakeholder groups. The plan was endorsed by Council on March 19th, 2012, but it has yet to fulfill the recommendations.

I urge you to stay on course with the recommendations of the Steering Committee report and to consider the following:

1. **Do it once and do it right!** – If you do choose to spend millions of dollars on temporary solutions the chance of a community facility will never be realized.

2. **It's our tax dollars; consult us** - Get back to the public on the temporary solutions and do not make a decision in isolation. All options must be weighed by the public before a decision should be made.

3. **We deserve the best, so figure it out** - Collingwood’s recreational infrastructure is inadequate - current and future generations deserve a full recreation community centre on one site. Council represents the public and must consider their needs.

4. **There is no real urgency, stay the course** – Many communities in Ontario have similar recreation centres and have thought bigger by embracing a community vision. We are asking Council to think big and make certain that we are ready to build once an Infrastructure program is announced. There is no rush, stay the course.

Mylene Pearce
Subject: FW: FRIENDS OF CENTRAL PARK, COLLINGWOOD - DO IT ONCE AND DO IT RIGHT!

From: George Dickson | Sent: Monday, August 13, 2012 7:46 AM
To: Friends of Collingwood Central Park Project; Sandra Cooper; Rick Lloyd; Mike Edwards; Kevin Lloyd; Ian Chadwick; Keith Hull; Dale West; Sandy Cunningham; Joe Gardhouse
Subject: FRIENDS OF CENTRAL PARK, COLLINGWOOD - DO IT ONCE AND DO IT RIGHT!

Councillors,

I recently volunteered and am involved on the Town Parks and Recreation Committee with a strong interest in seeing our town get the community facilities we deserve. I thought we were finally headed in the right direction and am dismayed that the project may get off the rails once again. I’ve have over 40 years experience working in the recreation and facility management business and am confident that as a community we can work together to access the funding from various sources—not just tax payers to bring this plan to fruition. Please stay committed to the long term solution and not just a temporary fix.

Central Park is absolutely the best location to bring this all together with the YMCA and Curling Club as partners. As stated below, the town has done a great job in talking to the community and some of the experts, so please listen and stay the course.

I’ve seen and worked with YMCA’s and municipal projects across the country in smaller communities who have projects to be proud of. How did they do it? Collingwood can do this too with leadership, vision and engaging the community and corporate partners in the project. It’s not all on your shoulders but you have to take the lead and make the right decisions. DO IT ONCE AND DO IT RIGHT!

Thank-you
George E. Dickson

From: Friends of Collingwood Central Park Project
Sent: Friday, August 10, 2012 8:12 PM
To: scooper@collingwood.ca; rlloyd@collingwood.ca; medwards@collingwood.ca; klloyd@collingwood.ca; ichadwick@collingwood.ca; khull@collingwood.ca; dwest@collingwood.ca; scunningham@collingwood.ca; jgardhouse@collingwood.ca
Subject: FRIENDS OF CENTRAL PARK, COLLINGWOOD - DO IT ONCE AND DO IT RIGHT!

I am aware that Town Council will be discussing alternatives to the Central Park Project at your August 27th meeting. These alternatives include putting a temporary building around the outdoor pool at Heritage Park, building a single pad arena at Central Park and making repairs and at Eddie Bush arena. The estimated cost of these temporary facilities has been reported at more than $10M dollars.

As you know, the Central Park Project plan was generated from countless hours of work by your Steering Committee with input by various community and stakeholder groups. The plan was endorsed by Council on March 19th, 2012, but it has yet to fulfill the recommendations.
I urge you to stay on course with the recommendations of the Steering Committee report and to consider the following:

1. **Do it once and do it right!** – If you do choose to spend millions of dollars on temporary solutions the chance of a community facility will never be realized.

2. **It’s our tax dollars; consult us** - Get back to the public on the temporary solutions and do not make a decision in isolation. All options must be weighed by the public before a decision should be made.

3. **We deserve the best, so figure it out** - Collingwood’s recreational infrastructure is inadequate - current and future generations deserve a full recreation community centre on one site. Council represents the public and must consider their needs.

4. **There is no real urgency, stay the course** – Many communities in Ontario have similar recreation centres and have thought bigger by embracing a community vision. We are asking Council to think big and make certain that we are ready to build once an Infrastructure program is announced. There is no rush, stay the course.

**Paul Cadieux**

**Friends of the Collingwood Central Park Project**

Phone: ____________________

Email: ____________________

Check out our [Facebook Page](#) and like us today for regular updates!!
Good evening,
I did not get confirmation that my first email was received so I am resending.

I am aware that Town Council will be discussing alternatives to the Central Park Project at your August 27th meeting. These alternatives include putting a temporary building around the outdoor pool at Heritage Park, building a single pad arena at Central Park and making repairs and at Eddie Bush arena. The estimated cost of these temporary facilities has been reported at more than $10M dollars.

As you know, the Central Park Project plan was generated from countless hours of work by your Steering Committee with input by various community and stakeholder groups. The plan was endorsed by Council on March 19th, 2012, but it has yet to fulfill the recommendations.

I urge you to stay on course with the recommendations of the Steering Committee report and to consider the following:

1. **Do it once and do it right!** – If you do choose to spend millions of dollars on temporary solutions the chance of a community facility will never be realized.

2. **It's our tax dollars; consult us** - Get back to the public on the temporary solutions and do not make a decision in isolation. All options must be weighed by the public before a decision should be made.

3. **We deserve the best, so figure it out** - Collingwood's recreational infrastructure is inadequate - current and future generations deserve a full recreation community centre on one site. Council represents the public and must consider their needs.

4. **There is no real urgency, stay the course** – Many communities in Ontario have similar recreation centres and have thought bigger by embracing a community vision. We are asking Council to think big and make certain that we are ready to build once an Infrastructure program is announced. There is no rush, stay the course.


---

*Paul Cadieux*
Friends of the Collingwood Central Park Project
Phone: ______________
Email ____________________________

Check out our [Facebook Page](#) and like us today for regular updates!!
From: Jessica White
Sent: Wednesday, August 22, 2012 11:53 AM
To: Sandra Cooper
Subject: Central Park Project

Dear Ms. Cooper,

I am aware that Town Council will be discussing alternatives to the Central Park Project at your August 27th meeting. These alternatives include putting a temporary building around the outdoor pool at Heritage Park, building a single pad arena at Central Park and making repairs and at Eddie Bush arena. The estimated cost of these temporary facilities has been reported at more than $10M dollars.

As you know, the Central Park Project plan was generated from countless hours of work by your Steering Committee with input by various community and stakeholder groups. The plan was endorsed by Council on March 19th, 2012, but it has yet to fulfill the recommendations.

I urge you to stay on course with the recommendations of the Steering Committee report and to consider the following:

1. **Do it once and do it right!** – If you do choose to spend millions of dollars on temporary solutions the chance of a community facility will never be realized.

2. **It’s our tax dollars; consult us** - Get back to the public on the temporary solutions and do not make a decision in isolation. All options must be weighed by the public before a decision should be made.

3. **We deserve the best, so figure it out** - Collingwood’s recreational infrastructure is inadequate - current and future generations deserve a full recreation community centre on one site. Council represents the public and must consider their needs.

4. **There is no real urgency, stay the course** – Many communities in Ontario have similar recreation centres and have thought bigger by embracing a community vision. We are asking Council to think big and make certain that we are ready to build once an Infrastructure program is announced. There is no rush, stay the course.

Sincerely,

Jessica White
266 Birch St.
Collingwood, ON
L9Y 2V6
hm: ______________
cell: ______________
From: Danny Brown  
Sent: Wednesday, August 22, 2012 3:07 PM  
To: Joe Gardhouse; Sandra Cooper; Rick Lloyd; Mike Edwards; Kevin Lloyd; Ian Chadwick; Keith Hull; Dale West; Sandy Cunningham  
Subject: Multi Use Facility

"Madame Mayor and Councillors;

Continue to be responsible, open and transparent in your deliberations about Central Park. Consult the public and let us have a say about the future of recreation in our community.

$10 million plus and triple the current operating costs is too much for temporary facilities that are subpar.

Do it once and do it right.

Regards,

Daniel Brown  
61 Kells Crescent  
Collingwood, Ontario
To the Mayor and Town Council of Collingwood,

As you know the YMCA has been providing services to community of Collingwood through our facility in Central Park since 1982. Over 4,000 children, adults and family use these services to learn new skills, stay healthy and engage in their community. Since May 2011 the YMCA has been involved with discussion related to the development of additional facilities at Central Park.

Recently it was brought to my attention that there is some feeling in the community that the YMCA has backed off our desire to be part of the vision that has been created at the Central Park site. I wanted to communicate to council that the YMCA is 100% committed to the redevelopment of services at the Central Park and has spent over 3 million dollars in the last few years ensuring that we have the facility and infrastructure that is needed to make an addition to the pool viable.

Deputy Mayor Lloyd was quoted at the July 16th meeting as saying that Council wanted to support more recreation facilities for the Town and that they were prepared to pay for their operation, but today was not the day when Council could afford the capital cost to build them.

I believe that the capital costs for these projects are really not the issue. I would like to point out to Council that the estimated operating costs of a pool run between 300 and 400K per year. Considering that the YMCA spent over 2 million just to renovate our lockers rooms I would estimate a capital investment of between 4 and 6 million dollars is required to renovate the outdoor pool. This puts the towns’ total investment in capital and operations at approximately 16 million dollars over the life of the pool.
In my opinion this makes the construction of an additional tank on the YMCA as the best and least expensive alternative over the long term. A pool connected to the existing YMCA creates an aquatic complex with both a warm water therapy tank and a 25 metre six lane pool for instruction and training. I see two scenarios that could be considered.

**Town Builds the New Pool**

For an investment of 6 million, the town gets a new pool, a therapy pool and substantially lower operating costs over the 40 year life of this type of facility. This amounts to between 6-8 million in saved tax dollars.

**Town Loans the YMCA Dollars to Build the New Pool**

An alternative scenario would be for the town to loan the YMCA money to build the pool at their best rate. They would then contract the YMCA to operate the pool over the life of the loan at the same yearly projected operating loss they would have with a rejuvenated outdoor pool. The contract payment to the YMCA from the town would then be used to pay off the loan. The city has no capital debt and is making payments equal to what they would pay on the operational loss of a 45 year old pool with no therapy or warm water tank.

In both these scenarios, not only is the tax payer saving money but we have not spread out the aquatic user base of the town over two centres. Something neither of our organizations can afford.

Clearly, based on these two scenarios alone, there are alternative, creative strategies that need to be considered before any decisions should be made about Central Park.

It is my request that Council continue with the transparent process that has been put in place to explore further ideas around funding for the long term success of Collingwood.

Yours,

Rob Armstrong  
Chief Executive Officer  
YMCA of Simcoe/Muskoka
A meeting of the Downtown Collingwood Board of Management Business Improvement Area (BIA) was held on Wednesday, June 27, 2012 at the hour of 6:00 p.m. in the Braniff Room, Town Hall.

**WELCOME / CALL TO ORDER**

Chair Saunders welcomed all in attendance and determined that a quorum was present then called the meeting to order at 6:05 p.m.

**APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA**

Moved by Member Green  
Seconded by Member Cook

**THAT** the Collingwood Board of Management Business Improvement Area (BIA) adopts the June 27, 2012 Agenda as presented.

**CARRIED**

**CONFIRMATION OF THE ADOPTION OF THE MINUTES**

**THE May 23, 2012 Downtown** Collingwood Board of Management Business Improvement Area (BIA) Minutes was adopted electronically in accordance with Procedural By-law 2006-120.

**DECLARATIONS OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST OR PECUNIARY INTEREST** – Board Member Saunders declared a Conflict of Pecuniary Interest with respect to the Invoices Paid to Saunders Office Pro as he is an owner of the business and works for the firm.
BUSINESS ARISING FROM THE MAY 23RD MEETING

TREASURER’S REPORT

Estimated Financial Statement to Date – Member Gardhouse elaborated on the Estimated Financial Statement for the Period ending June 27, 2012:

- The BIA General Manager advised that she has received notification of Assessment Reductions for 35 and 104 Hurontario Street. The Board agreed that the reductions were manageable within the current budget.
- New lease agreement for the BIA office photocopier has been signed, expected to generate substantial savings.

Moved by Member Nicolson
Seconded by Member Cook

THAT the Collingwood Downtown BIA Board of Management accepts the 2012 Financial Statement to June 27, 2012 as presented.

CARRIED

Invoices Paid – the Board reviewed the list of invoices paid since the last meeting.

Moved by Member Green
Seconded by Member Christie

THAT the Accounts Payable Vouchers of the BIA up to and including June 27, 2012 in the amount of 27,988.04 dollars be approved as presented.

CARRIED

Moved by Member Christie
Seconded by Member Cook

THAT the Supplementary Accounts Payable Vouchers for the period ending June 27, 2012 in the amount of 119.43 dollars to Saunders Office Pro be approved as presented.

CARRIED

*Board Member Saunders declared a Conflict of Pecuniary Interest with respect to the Invoices Paid to Saunders Office Pro and left the room during those discussions as he is an owner of the business and works for the firm.

CORRESPONDENCE SENT BY THE BIA GENERAL MANAGER SINCE THE MAY 23RD MEETING:

1. Media Release regarding Day of Delight
2. Letter of support for the nomination of John Hood for a Diamond Jubilee Medal
3. Memo to Downtown restaurants/bars regarding August 18th opportunity
4. Email to the Honourable Dr Kellie Leitch MP regarding the Canada Day event
5. Note of thanks to Phil Walker of Collingwood Hyundai regarding his support of the Local Live Lunch initiative
6. Media Release regarding Collingwood Festival for Canada
7. Media Release regarding Local Live Lunch
8. Member Newsletter  
9. 2nd Media Release regarding Collingwood Festival for Canada

**CORRESPONDENCE RECEIVED BY BIA GENERAL MANAGER SINCE THE MAY 23RD MEETING:**

1. Letter from Canadian Heritage confirming Canada Day funding – 7,500 dollar funding received.  
2. Media Release regarding Georgian Bay Coastal Route signage  
3. Email from Brown Forman regarding new marketing campaign for Collingwood Whiskey  
4. Information regarding Ontario Culinary Tourism summit  
5. Email thank you from Chris Young for facilitation of flash mob event  
6. Email correspondence regarding confirmation of town’s partnership for summer student program for street maintenance  
7. Letter from Mr. Paul Thurston regarding the 5th Annual ‘Riders with a Message’ event.

Moved by Member Snider  
Seconded by Member Nicolson

**THAT** the Collingwood Downtown BIA Board of Management asks that the BIA General Manager sends a letter of support for the 5th Annual Riders with a Message event.

**CARRIED**

**NEW BUSINESS**

*Loblaw’s Application for extension of their tent permit* – Councillor Chadwick confirmed that Loblaws has made an application for the extension of their tent permit. Councillor Chadwick will speak with Treasurer Leonard and seek clarification with respect to its MPAC Assessment. The Chair is to speak with the Manager of Loblaws regarding the angled wall at the south-east corner of the building to be used to promote Downtown Collingwood.

*General & Marine Hospital’s fundraising thermometer* – the BIA General Manager confirmed that the structure being erected on the site where the Town’s Christmas tree is usually located may be utilized for fundraising purposes.

**COMMITTEE REPORTS**

*Downtown Revitalization* – Coordinator Paula Lehr updated on the following items:

- The 2nd Joint Session of the BIA Board and the Downtown Revitalization Management Committee will be taking place on Thursday, July 5th and will once again be facilitated by Member Snider.  
- The Downtown Revitalization Management Project Executive Summary Report has been drafted and will be distributed before the Joint Session for review and discussion.  
- Banners in vacant windows need to be located 3 feet from the windows. Through discussions with the Chief Building Official, the Coordinator and the BIA General Manager have been advised that the current By-law does not permit affixing ‘billboards’ on the side of buildings. The Board agreed that moving forward with the project would be beneficial as it would enhance the BIA District. The Board believes that making a joint PowerPoint presentation to Council with members of the Downtown Revitalization Management Committee would demonstrate the benefit of the use of banners.
The community garden planters adjacent to the CIBC branch have been planted with vegetables and herbs and are expected to be maintained by Greenspace Staff and the Environment Network Day Camp participants. The Board suggested that the sign should recognize that the food grown is to assist the food bank. The Coordinator advised that the property owner of School House Lane is interested in coming on board with the project. The Board approved extending the project to include those 3 planters.

The Board requested that the Coordinator provides the Chair with the owed lieu time to date.

**Maintenance** – Maintenance Chair Christie advised that the BIA District is in very good shape. Summer staff is performing well and will be tackling the growth of unwanted vegetation in the laneways and the garden beds. The summer students are working along with Greenspace staff. It is hoped that summer staff will also be able to complete an inventory of the current Graffiti. The General Manager suggested that the Board adopts a program used in other communities which retains the services of a specialist contractor responsible for the ongoing eradication of graffiti. Some of the Board members expressed concern that the existing By-law is not enforced. The Board believes that the Town should lead by example and maintain municipal property. The Board requested that the Coordinator obtains quotes for graffiti cleanup and speaks with staff to request a Deputation to Council to speak regarding the proliferation of graffiti and its impact on the municipality.

**Decorating** – Decorating Chair Green confirmed that the banners, hanging baskets and Art Chairs for the summer season have been installed. All of the Sesquicentennial Panels have also been installed. Decorating Chair Green expressed his appreciation of the efforts of the Greenspace and Public Works Managers and their respective staff for their efforts and commitment to the District and also expressed his appreciation of the efforts of the Downtown Revitalization Coordinator for organizing the Local Live Lunch events. The BIA is committed to spending another 1,000 dollars towards planting colorful bulbs. In preparation for the 2012/13 Christmas season, the Decorating Chair is investigating the costs to purchase some new Christmas décor. Fall and Halloween design will be done in house. The Board congratulated Decorating Chair Green for his commitment and dedication to his Portfolio. The Jubilee Banners will be installed after the Elvis Festival Event.

**Communications** – Communications Chair Saunders explained that he has had a very good discussion with a BIA member who believes that the number of organized events should be increased rather than the direction given by the members at the AGM to organize fewer but higher quality events. The Board recommended that Communications Chair Saunders includes in all newsletters mention of the date and time of the Board meetings and that all members are welcome to attend those meetings. Members’ social will be taking place on July 3rd at the Theatre Collingwood Box Office.

**Promotions** – Promotions Chair Trott confirmed that the Farmers Market is well attended. Canada Day Pancake Breakfast will be taking place on June 30th and is in the process of finalizing the programming for the August 18th Celebrate Collingwood Day /Blues, Brews and BBQ event.

**2012 Blues Brews & BBQ** – the Chair and Member Gardhouse elaborated on the proposed event which will be partially funded through the Queen's Jubilee funding. Member Gardhouse noted that Black Angus Meats has donated 800 pounds of meat to be used by the competitors in the event. General Manager Nicholson advised that one of the Downtown bars has agreed in principle to be the bar host for the event. The Board
reviewed the schedule, the tentative judges list. The Board agreed that as in similar ‘tasting events’ they will need to charge a tasting fee of 1,00 dollar per ticket to cover some of the marketing costs.

**Marketing** – Marketing Chair Nicolson requested that Member Cook speaks with the President of the Village Association at Blue Mountain Resorts to discuss Collingwood’s participation in the 2013 Peak to Shore Music Festival. Press releases are ready to be published, firmed up offer with Horizon Travel Magazine which is distributed in the Ottawa and Toronto markets. The BIA has partnered with the Collingwood Elvis Festival to purchase a multimedia buy through MZ Media in its Zoomer Magazine and both its AM and FM radio stations which will reach all of South West Ontario.

**Policy and Procedures** - Member Snider advised that he would provide an update at the next meeting.

**Parking and By-laws** – Parking and By-laws Chair Trott advised that the parking meters now accept two dollar coins. The Board requested that at the next meeting with the Clerk that discussion be held regarding utilizing the north parking lot along Ste. Marie Street as the dedicated lot for long term parking and overnight parking in the winter months. The new signage for the parking meters will be installed by By-law staff.

**Elvis Festival** – Member Gardhouse explained that the businesses outside the closed vendor area are asking for the opportunity to promote their businesses and other events. The Board agreed to find the budget in the BIA budget to create signage that would be at the entrances/exits of the closed area to promote businesses in other areas of the downtown. The Chair advised that the Festival is trying to redefine the role of the Executive Board. Member Gardhouse confirmed that all vendor space has been sold out, ticket sales are up and the Festival organizers are anticipating a positive event.

**General Manager** – General Manager Nicholson elaborated on her submitted report, highlights of which are:

- At the June 1st OBIAA Board and Strategic Planning Meeting, which was held in Belleville agreed to assume the role of President for upcoming year to incoming Vice President, Ellen Timms (Port Credit BIA), who will move into the role next year.
- Executive Meeting will take place on June 29th in Oakville.
- Will continue to book OBIAA Board/Executive Meetings as lieu days/holidays as her volunteer commitment to the organization
- Meeting with PRC Director, Greenspace Manager and Decorating Portfolio Chair to discuss gardens/public art initiatives/summer student staff
- Continue to work with DRP/GTTA on the Girlfriend’s Getaway Weekend project which is in the process of finalizing the headliner contract and assisting with the media plan/creative/website.
- Farmers’ Market setup and tear down has gone flawlessly. The Market has not had any problems with cars in the parking lot in recent weeks, and the weather has been favourable to market activities.

**Council Representative Chadwick** - share the road street painting along Pine Street. Active Transportation Plan will be presented at the end of July.

**COMMITTEE REPRESENTATIVE REPORTS**

**Heritage Advisory Committee** – Member Christie advised that at their June 21st meeting, Mr. Richard Lex requested the committee’s support of his request to Council to allow him to
rejuvenate the sign which used to be on the building. The committee supported his request. The committee also met with Mrs. Patsy Boyce and endorsed her request to amend her Heritage Permit Application to include masonry repairs at 60 Hurontario Street.

**Connectivity with Blue Mountain Resorts** – Member Cook advised that he has had constructive meetings with Acting CAO Houghton and Manager of Engineering MacDonald as well as separate meetings with representatives of the Village Association, Blue Mountain Resorts as well as officials of The Blue Mountains. The Chair requested that Member Cook prepares a preliminary discussion paper which could be discussed at the Joint Meeting.

**NEW BUSINESS** – none noted.

**OTHER BUSINESS** – none noted.

**NEXT MEETING**

The next meeting of the Board of Management of the Collingwood Downtown BIA will take place on **Wednesday, August 22nd, 2012 at 6:00 p.m. in the Braniff Room, Town Hall.**

**ADJOURNMENT**

*Moved by Board Member Green*

*THAT* the meeting adjourns at 8:57 p.m.

*CARRIED*

_______________________________
Joe Saunders, Chair

JS: mg
1. RECOMMENDATION:

THAT Council receive staff report EMC 2012-01,

AND FURTHER THAT Council direct staff to proceed with the purchase and construction of an Insulated Architectural Membrane facility for a year-round single pad ice arena at Central Park, maintaining 2 ball diamonds, the outdoor ice rink, the lawn bowling facility, and additional green space – while keeping the option to twin the new arena at a future date;

AND FURTHER THAT Council direct staff to proceed with the purchase and construction of an Insulated Architectural Membrane structure over the existing Outdoor Pool including the removal and reconstruction of the existing building, in order to provide a year-round pool to meet the community’s aquatic and competitive swimming needs.

2. SUMMARY AND BACKGROUND:

On July 16, 2012 Council passed Resolution No. 330 directing staff to,

Pursue the following recommended options, and develop a project timeline and detailed estimates; and bring the report back to Council not later than August 27, 2012:

- Enclose the Outdoor Pool with a Fabric Building.
- Construct a Single Pad Arena that could be phased into a double pad
Summary:

In the preparation of this report, staff emphasis has been on meeting the aquatic and ice needs as they have been identified in the Central Park Redevelopment Steering Committee’s Final Report, while still preserving existing recreational facilities and avoiding the significant cost of relocation. Staff would concur that the funds are currently not identified in the system for the relocation of existing amenities, or for the significant commitment to a full multi-use recreational facility. This however, is certainly at the discretion of Council. Nevertheless, in the short term, there may be financially attractive opportunities to attain some of the benefits identified by the community in the work of the Steering Committee while not losing sight or have departure from the report’s development ideals.

Enclose the Outdoor Pool with a Fabric Building

In researching a year round enclosure for the existing Outdoor Pool, staff developed the outline specifications included in Appendix A, drawing in part from the Central Park Redevelopment Steering Committee work and detailed report. These specifications, should Council choose to proceed with this project, will form the basis of the procurement of an Insulated Fabric Membrane cover for the Outdoor Pool. Improvements around the facility would be limited to drainage and grading, landscaping and parking lot improvements at this time. No other Heritage Park amenities would be displaced in order to enclose the existing Outdoor Pool.

The Insulated Architectural Membrane structure researched for this report would be provided as a “turnkey” solution for covering and renewing the existing facilities at the pool. The cost includes the demolition of the existing pool change house and mechanical room and reconstruction of a modern facility within the new structure. The new enclosure would include an expansive viewing area for year round activities as well as day lighting initiatives and the ability to open exterior doors for the outdoor pool experience during favourable weather.

A significant advantage to this procurement approach is that the complete design and engineering works are included in the cost of the enclosure and improvements are fully quantified at the time of order. The project would not be subject to additional engineering costs and contingencies. Additionally, the purchase would be limited to the supply and construction of the Insulated Architectural Membrane structure and the interior components only, allowing the Town to construct the remaining site works independently, resulting in significant cost savings.

We are only aware of one (1) supplier of the type of Insulated Architectural Membrane structure that would allow for satisfactory year round swimming pool use. In the future, it
would be possible to relocate or repurpose the Insulated Architectural Membrane structure at another location, adapt it to another use, or otherwise re-commission it to a new owner within this expanding market.

The materials used in the construction of the researched Insulated Architectural Membrane structure are an extruded aluminum framing system with an exterior and interior poly vinyl fluoride (PVF) membrane. This membrane encloses R-30 encapsulated insulation developed specifically for harsh environments. The aluminum structure will not corrode and the type of insulation recommended is reported to resist moisture and eventual microbial growth that may be prevalent in an indoor pool environment.

The cost of the Insulated Architectural Membrane structure to cover the existing outdoor pool including new change areas, staff accommodations and mechanical and utility rooms is estimated to be $3,225,000. In addition to the cost of the building, site servicing, improvements to parking areas and necessary landscaping may be completed independently by the Town, and some may be phased in utilizing in-house resources. This work would be required in all options. An allowance of approximately $200,000 in addition to the building cost may be a consideration.

The Insulated Architectural Membrane structure may be delivered, constructed and operational, depending on permitting, approvals, weather conditions and staff utilization, within a four (4) to six (6) month time frame. The YMCA has recently stated that they are not currently in a financial position to proceed with another expansion to the existing facility in Collingwood. The procurement of an Insulated Fabric Membrane building to enclose the existing Outdoor Pool will meet the immediate aquatic needs of the community while still providing options for the future.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Pool Enclosure Cost</th>
<th>$3,225,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Servicing Allowance (same for all options)</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Construct a new Single Pad Arena that could be phased into a double pad**

There were two types of construction investigated to provide a Single Pad Arena in Central Park. Certain site improvement costs will be incurred regardless of the type of building constructed.

The outline specifications included in Appendix B have been developed in response to the immediate needs identified in the Central Park Redevelopment Project report. The intent will be to place the arena within Central Park to minimize the immediate displacement of existing recreational facilities while providing the best opportunities for the future park development within the proposed multi-use concept.
The two building construction types investigated were a Pre-Engineered Steel building with the lobby and entrance areas constructed with architectural block features, and the Insulated Architectural Membrane structure. The Pre-Engineered Steel building or the Insulated Architectural Membrane structure may be positioned within the park to limit the immediate displacement of existing amenities. Either of the arenas would have the potential of being twinned in the future and either would be appropriate as the first phase of the multi-use development in Central Park.

Each of the arenas proposed would qualify for a LEED Silver accreditation. In order to receive the accreditation there would be additional commissioning costs for either building system. A significant difference in the two construction types is that the Insulated Architectural Membrane structure has the LEED requirements built into its basic design, whereas the traditionally industrial Pre-Engineered Steel building must be modified to meet the requirements leading to additional engineering costs and custom components.

As with the Outdoor Pool enclosure, the Insulated Architectural Membrane structure researched for this report would be provided as a “turnkey” design build arena solution. The materials of construction and insulation factors are similar, and the full costs of engineering and design are known and committed to at the time of order. A minimal allowance for permits, fees and site design would be carried with the project. Since the contract would include the supply and construction of the arena only, further site development may be designed and constructed independently by the Town in a phased approach utilizing in-house resources where possible.

The estimated cost for the supply and construction of the basic Insulated Architectural Membrane arena is $7,392,000 as compared to $11,100,000 - $12,300,000 (estimates provided by WGD) for the Pre Engineered Steel arena built using conventional construction methodology. (Please note than in the Central Park Redevelopment Steering Committee’s Final Report it stated that a double ice pad would cost $25,300,000 including contingencies). The interior space that is provided within the architectural membrane structure allows for the cost efficient addition of a second floor lounge area with a view of the ice surface. The cost of the second floor improvements within the architectural membrane arena is included in the above estimate whereas a similar addition to the Pre-Engineered Steel arena would add up to $1,000,000 to the investment.

The costs of Central Park development will be minimized for the construction of an initial arena with either approach, however the Insulated Architectural Membrane arena procurement allows the Town to facilitate park development for site servicing, parking improvements and landscaping to proceed in a phased approach. An allowance of $500,000 should be considered in this evaluation.

A significant advantage to the Insulated Architectural Membrane structure is the time to complete. The purpose built packaged arena facility is typically designed and constructed
within a six (6) to eight (8) month period. Traditional construction methods are usually subject to significant design and procurement periods followed by the construction. A Pre-Engineered Steel arena would be expected to develop over a sixteen (16) to eighteen (18) month period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Single Pad Arena Costs</td>
<td>- $7,476,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accessories such as Zamboni &amp; Score Board Costs</td>
<td>- $ 316,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Servicing Allowance (same for all options)</td>
<td>- $ 500,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Eddie Bush Memorial Arena**

An application has been submitted to the Ontario Community Infrastructure Improvement Fund (CIIF) for improvements to the Eddie Bush Memorial Arena (EBMA). With the addition of a year round ice arena in Central Park, the intent would be to prepare the EBMA for transition from a year round arena to a winter arena and summer event venue that will introduce new vibrancy to the downtown core. Although no detailed design has been completed, the budget for this project is set at $3,124,000, of which $1,000,000 may be recoverable in the form of a grant.

If CIIF funding is received, the timeline for completion is March 2014. In order to facilitate the proposed renovations a new year round ice facility must be in operation or there would be major interruptions to the ice times available for user groups.

Staff is confident, on the basis of the research into options for a Single Pad Ice Arena in Central Park, that the most cost effective and time-efficient option for construction is an Insulated Architectural Membrane structure. The technology utilized in this building system is innovative and presents well for energy efficiency and the environment. The arena will not only satisfy the immediate ice needs of the community but will also further enhance the Town’s image as a leader in the adoption of new and leading technologies.

### 3. DISCUSSION:

The procurement process recommended for the supply and construction of the Outdoor Pool enclosure and the Single Ice Pad at Central Park is a direct purchase of the facilities from the supplier. Staff have exercised due diligence in the research of potential forms of construction and feel that there would be no additional advantage to be gained from a further tender process for the following reasons:
Element of competition was included in the gathering of estimates: the manufacturers of the Architectural Membrane structure knew that they were in competition with the more traditional forms of construction; WGD Architects knew that they were in competition with the Architectural Membrane structure when producing estimates.

Cost effectiveness and benefit to the Town: through the investigative process, it has been determined that the Architectural Membrane structure would provide the most cost effective and all inclusive solution for the Town’s needs.

Sole Source: through Staff research, it has been determined that there is only one supplier that can meet the specifications Staff developed for the facilities.

If one of the more traditional forms of construction had been determined to provide the most cost effective solution there would have been a further need to issue an RFP for construction since there are many companies capable of providing this service. There is only one manufacturer of Architectural Membrane structures that has a proven track record of success and that distributes this technology.

4. DEPARTMENT HEAD REVIEW:

This report was reviewed by the Executive Management Committee, Director of Parks, Recreation and Culture and the Manager of Fleet, Facilities and Purchasing August 21 and circulated to Department Heads for comment August 23. Comments received were reviewed and incorporated prior to having the report proceed to Council.

5. EFFECT ON TOWN FINANCES:

The Total Cost of the Two Buildings is $10,617,000
Accessory Costs $ 316,000
Site Servicing Costs for Both Buildings $ 700,000
Total Cost (less taxes) $11,633,000

The Town has the following funds available:

Reserve $ 1,500,000
County – portion of Poplar Sideroad construction 2010 $ 1,300,000
Collus PowerStream Partnership (to be confirmed by public) $ 8,000,000
Potential DC – Heritage Park – parking/landscaping (22%) $ 88,000
Potential DC – Central Park – arena enclosure (18%)  $ 821,488
Total Available (potentially) $11,709,488

6. DISPOSITION:

Staff will follow through to ensure that the direction of Council is carried out.

7. APPENDICES:

Respectfully submitted,

Executive Management Committee:
Ed Houghton, Acting CAO; Larry Irwin, Director of IT Services; Sara Almas, Clerk; and, Marjory Leonard, Treasurer.

With input from:
Marta Proctor, Director of Parks, Recreation and Culture,
Dave McNalty, Manager Fleet, Facilities and Purchasing,
Dennis Seymour, Manager Recreation Facilities
Outdoor Pool Enclosure Outline Specifications

An insulated enclosure over the existing Centennial Pool will be intended to allow the existing facility to be used on a year round basis. The existing facility is a six (6) lane, 25 metre outdoor pool which has a limited season during the summer months. The existing pool has undergone several upgrades over recent years including the replacement of piping and chemical additions systems and is currently scheduled for an upgrade of the recirculation and filtration system in the fall of 2012.

The current service building for the pool was constructed in 1965 and requires significant upgrades. The intent is to demolish the building and reconstruct appropriate service areas within the new pool enclosure.

Improvements around the facility would be limited to drainage and grading, landscaping and parking lot improvements at this time. No other Heritage park amenities would be displaced in order to enclose the existing pool facility.

The basic Design Components will include:

- Insulated pool enclosure with dimensions of 120ft by 140ft.
- Daylighting roof panels to maximize natural light.
- Eight (8) operable insulated doors to allow for an open environment in favourable weather.
- Interior spectator seating for 250, preferably on the east side.
- New mechanical room with additional space and upgraded servicing.
- Chemical storage room with direct exterior access and suitable containment.
- Male, female and family change rooms with accessible washrooms and lockers.
- Pool entry facilities (showers, etc.)
- Complete accessibility to the pool for disabled persons including a portable lift.
- Lobby and customer service area.
- Separate staff locker/lunch room.
- Manager’s office.
- Swim team office.
- Janitorial closets, pool equipment room and storage room.
- Sanitary design and room finishes.
- Complete sound, telephone and communications system serving all areas of the facility.
- Fire alarm system.
- HVAC systems throughout.
- Energy efficient lighting and electrical fixtures including occupancy controlled switching.
- Efficient non-touch plumbing fixtures and components.
Additional options that may be considered are:

- Potential mezzanine over the main floor amenities for storage/offices/HVAC equipment.
- Vending machine area at the main exit.
Single Pad Arena Outline Specifications

The proposed ice arena for Central Park will be positioned to minimize the displacement of existing amenities in the park while allowing for a future expansion of a second ice surface. The first ice surface will require that at least one baseball diamond be eliminated from the current park layout in order to accommodate additional parking and service areas around the arena. A second ice surface may require the displacement of additional park amenities, however the eventual construction of a second ice surface may allow for adequate planning and development of other parklands.

The intent is to operate the new arena as a year round ice facility. This will allow the Eddie Bush Memorial Arena to be operated as an ice arena through the winter season, and evolve towards other uses in the off season. A consideration may be that the new arena may operate more efficiently throughout the year than the existing facility. Options should be provided for ice making equipment.

The new arena may also be used for other special events such as concerts or trade shows and other assembly purposes and should be designed to allow for such use from both occupancy and functional points of view.

The basic Design Components will include:

- Full size 85ft by 200ft ice surface complete with premium dasher boards and glass all around.
- Glass will be 5-½ft with aluminum rail.
- Dropdown centre style score board.
- Six (6) player dressing rooms facing directly to the ice surface (including showers, toilets and sinks) each with dimensions of 12ft by 20ft.
- Two (2) referee rooms (male/female) each capable of accommodating a minimum of eight (8) referees and complete with showers, toilet, sinks, etc.
- First Aid room complete with shower, toilet, sink etc.
- Minimum of 250 spectator seats with overhead radiant heating (potential for coin operated heating with an override switch).
- Ice resurfacer room and mechanical and electrical rooms suitable for an Olympia style ice resurfacer.
- Large service door with direct access to the ice surface.
- Dual purpose meeting/party/music/media room with an ice view.
- Digital entry notice board.
- Ice level warm viewing area/lobby.
- Resilient flooring for all ice level areas.
- Manager’s office.
- Staff locker/lunch room to accommodate six (6) staff on the main level.
- Separate offices for Minor Hockey and Figure Skating
- First floor vending area.
- Pro Shop approximately 12ft by 20ft.
- Main floor accessible/family washrooms.
- Janitorial closets, storage rooms.
- Complete sound, telephone and communication systems serving all areas of the facility.
- Fire alarm system and building sprinklers.
- HVAC system for entire facility (capable of handling a second floor mezzanine).
- Energy efficient lighting and electrical equipment including occupancy controlled switching.
- Efficient non-touch plumbing fixtures and components.
- Second floor mezzanine.
- Elevator to second floor.
- Second floor lounge area.
- Second floor kitchen and bar service room.
1. RECOMMENDATION:

THAT Council enact and pass amending Zoning By-law No. 2012-098.

2. SUMMARY AND BACKGROUND:

Synopsis of Proposal

The purpose of amending Zoning No. 2012-098 is to rezone a portion of 60 Madeline Drive from the Environmental Protection (EP) zone to a Residential One Density Exception Eleven (R1-11) zone.

The effect of amending Zoning No. 2012-098 is to create a building envelope on the property zoned R1-11 for a single detached dwelling while maintaining the appropriate environmental buffers and sensitive natural heritage features in the EP zone. Furthermore, the R1-11 zone provides for an increase to the typical R1 zone provisions regarding minimum lot area, lot frontage and openings into buildings.

Property Description

The land that is subject to proposed amending Zoning No. 2012-098 is legally described as Part of Lot 51, Concession 12, Town of Collingwood, County of Simcoe and is known municipally as 60 Madeline Drive.

Public Meeting

Town Council held a public meeting regarding the proposed changes to the Zoning By-law on August 13th, 2012.

A question raised by Council during the public meeting was confirmation for the need or desire for a conservation easement in favour of the Grey Sauble Conservation Authority (GSCA) over the portion of the subject property that will remain environmentally protected. In his email dated August 15th, 2012 Mr. Andrew Sorenson, Environmental Planning Coordinator, GSCA,
confirmed that a conservation easement was not requested as part of their comments on the Zoning By-law Amendment. He states that the entire lands are regulated under Ontario Regulation 151/06 which requires a permit from their office prior to under taking site alterations. Furthermore, the Zoning By-law and the GSCA regulations provide some protection to the wetland, buffers and flood plain lands. He notes that conservation easements can be a useful tool to protect environmentally sensitive lands and that the GSCA recommend them in some situations where they may be useful and effective.

**Matters of Provincial Interest**

Section 2 of the *Planning Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c .P. 13, as amended, provides that Council in carrying out their responsibilities under the *Planning Act* shall have regard to matters of provincial interest.

Subsection 2(a) states that the protection of ecological systems, including natural areas, features and functions is a matter of provincial interest. This property has within its legal boundaries a part of the Silver Creek Wetland Complex. This complex is classified as a Provincially Significant Wetland (PSW), and as such, the PSW and its adjacent environmental buffer areas are worthy of protection.

Amending Zoning By-law No. 2012-098 proposes a residential building envelope that is located entirely outside the limits of the Silver Creek Wetland Complex and separated from the PSW by incorporating environmental buffers for enhanced protection. Further detail on the methodology used to establish the proposed residential building envelope is outlined below.

Planning staff is satisfied that amending Zoning By-law No. 2012-098, if enacted and passed, shall not offend any matters of provincial interest.

**Provincial Policy Statement (PPS - 2005)**

Section 3(5) of the *Planning Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c .P. 13, as amended, provides that the Council of a municipality, in exercising any authority that affects a planning matter, shall ensure that those powers are exercised in a manner that is consistent with the policy statements issued by the Province.

Subsection 2.1.3(b) titled *Natural Heritage* of the PPS states (in part) that development or site alteration is not permitted within significant wetlands. Planning staff can confirm that amending Zoning By-law No. 2012-098 will not authorize any development or site alteration inside the Silver Creek Wetland Complex.

Subsection 2.1.6 titled *Natural Heritage* of the PPS states (in part) that development or site alteration shall not be permitted adjacent to a natural heritage feature or area unless the ecological function of the adjacent lands has been evaluated and it has been demonstrated that there will be no negative impacts on the natural features or on their ecological function. Given that there are natural heritage features on and adjacent to this property, this PPS policy must be satisfied as a precondition to determining whether a residential building envelope can be established on the property.

In reviewing this application various technical environmental reports and other information were provided to representatives of the Grey Sauble Conservation Authority (GSCA). The GSCA were requested by Planning staff to analyze this material in order to determine the
appropriateness of allowing a residential building envelope on the property. The technical information provided to the GSCA included the following:

1. Scoped Environmental Impact Study, 60, 70 & 80 Madeline Drive, dated August 2008, prepared by Taranus Associates Ltd.,
2. Shoreline Hazard Assessment, 60 Madeline Drive, dated September 26, 2008, prepared by Shoreplan Engineering Ltd.,
3. Topographical Sketch, 60 Madeline Drive, dated April 2012, prepared by Zubek, Emo, Patten & Thomsen Ltd.,
4. Flood Hazard Assessment, 80 Madeline Drive, dated September 26, 2008, prepared by C.C. Tatham & Associates Ltd.,
5. Shoreline Hazard Assessment, 80 Madeline Drive, dated September 26, 2008, prepared by Shoreplan Engineering Ltd., and

In his letter dated July 6th, 2012 (see Appendix “A”) Mr. Andrew Sorenson, Environmental Planning Coordinator, GSCA, provided his recommendations for the location of a residential building envelope based on the above documents. Planning Staff have incorporated the GSCA recommendations into the provisions of amending Zoning By-law No. 2012-098.

Planning staff is satisfied that amending Zoning By-law No. 2012-098, if enacted and passed, will be consistent with the 2005 Provincial Policy Statement.

Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe

Section 3(5) of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P. 13, as amended, provides that the Council of a municipality, in exercising any authority that affects a planning matter shall conform to the provincial plans that are in effect, or shall not conflict with them, as the case may be. The Growth Plan plans and manages growth to support a strong economy and to build complete communities, while encouraging intensification.

Planning staff is satisfied that amending Zoning By-law No. 2012-098, if enacted and passed, will be in conformity with the policies of the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe.

County of Simcoe Official Plan

Schedule 5.1 entitled Land Use Designations of the County of Simcoe Official Plan, as amended, identifies the Town of Collingwood as a Settlement Area. The County of Simcoe Planning Division has provided no specific comments with respect to this proposed amending Zoning By-law.

Planning staff is satisfied that amending Zoning By-law No. 2012-098, if enacted and passed, will conform to the general intent and purpose of the County of Simcoe Official Plan.

Town of Collingwood Official Plan, as amended

Schedule “A” titled Land Use Plan of the Town’s Official Plan identifies that 60 Madeline Drive is designated Environmental Protection. This land-use designation generally limits uses to
conservation uses, fish and wildlife management, public parks and pedestrian trails. This land-use designation has been on the subject property for some time, including the Town's 1985 Official Plan.

Section 4.1.3.3 titled Boundaries, Environmental Protection of the Town's Official Plan states (in part) that the boundaries of the Environmental Protection areas on Schedule "A" have been conceptually delineated, and that the intent of the Official Plan is that the precise locations for environmentally protected land should be determined in consultation with the Conservation Authority at the time of considering site-specific development applications. An amendment to the Official Plan is not required to make minor modifications to the boundaries of the Environmental Protection areas provided the overall intent of the Official Plan is maintained.

Section 4.1.3.4 titled Detailed Delineation, Environmental Protection of the Town's Official Plan states (in part) that the Environmental Protection areas shown on Schedule "A" are to be used only as a guide in preparing implementing Zoning criteria, and that the appropriate setbacks and the extent of environmental buffers shall be established in the Zoning By-law in consultation with the Conservation Authority. Furthermore, when more detailed mapping becomes available it shall take precedence in the consideration of site-specific development applications, and Council shall amend the Official Plan and/or Zoning By-law to reflect the improved mapping.

Given the conclusions of the various technical environmental reports, and based upon the recommendations provided by the GSAC, it is the opinion of Planning staff that the portion of the property proposed as the residential building envelope should not be considered as being within the Environmental Protection land-use designation.

Planning staff would recommend to Council that the area proposed as the residential building envelope be deemed to be located within the abutting Rural Residential Two (RR2) – Hopps Subdivision land-use designation. The RR2 land-use designation is the designation applicable to other residential lots in the immediate neighbourhood (Madeline Drive/Lindsay Lane). The balance of the subject property outside of the proposed residential building envelope would continue to be designated Environmental Protection.

This approach maintains the general intent and purpose of the Town's Official Plan and is consistent with the policies outlined in Sections 4.1.3.3 and 4.1.3.4.

Amending Zoning By-law No. 2012-098

Schedule "A" of the Collingwood Zoning By-law No. 2010-040, as amended, indicates that 60 Madeline Drive is currently zoned Environmental Protection (EP).

Planning staff would recommend that the portion of the property intended as the proposed building envelope be rezoned from Environmental Protection (EP) to Residential First Density Exception Eleven (R1-11). The R1-11 zone would have the following exceptions:

1. Minimum lot area: 1,680 m²
2. Minimum lot frontage: 60.0 m
3. Minimum building openings: 178.5 m Canadian Geodetic Datum

The proposed minimum lot area and lot frontage provisions of the R1-11 zone, and the spatial location of building envelope, are consistent with recommendations made by the GSAC based
on their analysis of the submitted technical environmental reports. These minimum lot size provisions will also discourage the possibility of the property to be severed at some future date.

The standard minimum building opening required by the Town’s Zoning By-law is 178.3 m CGD. However, the Shoreline Hazard Assessment prepared by Shoreplan Engineering Ltd. suggested enhanced water proofing for this lot, and as such, an enhanced building opening elevation of 178.5 m CGD has been made a requirement in the R1-11 zone.

The balance of the subject property that is outside of the R1-11 zoned building envelope area will continue to be zoned Environmental Protection (EP).

Conclusion

Based upon the above analysis, it is the opinion of Planning staff that this Zoning By-law Amendment represents good land-use planning. Planning staff recommends that Council enact and pass amending Zoning By-law No. 2012-098. A copy of this Amendment is attached to this staff report (see Appendix "B").

3. DEPARTMENT HEAD REVIEW:

- This report was forwarded to Department Heads for review on August 21st, 2012 and it was recommended to proceed to Council for consideration.

4. EFFECT ON TOWN FINANCES:

- There are no impacts on Town finances.

5. APPENDICES:

☐ None
☒ Attached

(A) GSCA letter dated July 6th, 2012
(B) Zoning By-law Amendment No. 2012-098

Respectfully submitted,

Nancy Farren, MCIP, RPP
Director, Planning Services

Prepared by,

Trevor Houghton, MGP, RPP
Senior Planner
July 6, 2012

Ms. Nancy Farrar, Director of Planning and Development  
Town of Collingwood, Planning Department  
55 Ste. Marie Street, Unit 302  
Collingwood, Ontario  
L9Y 0W6

Dear Ms. Farrar:

RE: Application for Zoning (pre-circulation comments),  
Applicant: Graham O’Donnell  
Lot 50, Concession 12, 60 Madeline Drive  
Town of Collingwood  
Our File: P10255

The Grey Sauble Conservation Authority has reviewed the above noted application according to our mandate for natural hazards, for natural heritage issues according to the Provincial Policy Statement under the Planning Act and relative to our policies for the implementation of Ontario Regulation 151/06. We offer the following comments.

Proposal/Site Description  
The proposal is to re-zone a portion of the property to a residential zone to allow for a residential dwelling and accessory structures.

The property includes an old overgrown tennis court, mowed grassed area, a drainage channel and wetland areas primarily treed swamp. There is also road side drainage channel which outlets between two cottages towards the end of Madeline Drive. Generally, it would appear that the water table elevation is generally high but due dry conditions these drainage channels are virtually dry. These channels may be influenced in the event of high water levels in Georgian Bay as portions of the property are below the 100 year lake flood line. Tree species within the wetland are dominated by ash and silver maple. It is noted that water levels in this particular wetland can fluctuate dramatically from year to year and the Madeline drive road side ditch can be influenced by the wetland water level.

GSCA Regulations  
The entire property is regulated under Ontario Regulation 151/06: Regulation of Development, Interference with Wetlands and Alterations to Shorelines and Watercourses. Under this regulation, a permit is required from this office prior to the construction of buildings or structures, the temporary or permanent placement of fill within the designated area, interference with a wetland, and/or the straightening, changing, diverting or in any way interfering with an existing channel of a river, lake, creek, stream or watercourse. The affected area includes a 15 metre setback from the regional flood line of Town line Creek as well as areas within 120 metres of the Silver Creek Wetland boundary.
Provincial Policy Statement

3.1 Natural Hazards

A portion of the property is within the Townline Creek Regional flood plain as noted in the flood hazard assessment for 60 Madeline Drive prepared by CC Tatham and Associates. The hazard area is noted on the attached map. We also note that a portion of the property is below the 100 year lake flood line of Georgian Bay. However, the property is setback from the bay sufficiently that it is not anticipated that the wave uprush hazards would have a significant affect the property. The report from Shoreplan Engineering plan dated September 26, 2008 recognizes this and provides some recommendations for flood proofing levels. This report recommends a minimum grade of the building area should be at elevation of 178.0 metres GSC and a minimum elevation of 178.5 metres for building openings.

2.1 Natural Heritage

An environmental impact study has been completed for the property in 2008 by Tarandus Associates Limited in conjunction with proposed development on 80 Madeline Drive. The report suitably depicts vegetation communities on the site. The report recommends various buffers from features as noted on figure 7 of the report. The mapped flood plain and associated access allowance is located approximately 15 metres from the wetland boundary at the south end of the property. The recommended buffer according to the report includes a 10-20 metre naturalized buffer at certain locations. This wetland and developments in the vicinity have had buffers of 10 to 50 metres in various locations. The preferred buffer in this situation is a more conservative 30 metre buffer to the proposed buildings from the wetland boundary. The enclosed map outlines this area. This recommend buffer and Environmental protection zone provides for a transition area from the building to a naturalized buffer and provides ample area to place a dwelling, septic system and accessory structure on the property. The area located outside the recommended EP zone is 60 metres by 28 metres.

Recommendations

Based on our review of the Environmental Impact Study, the flood hazard assessment and the Shoreplan report, we recommend that the existing environmental protection zone be modified as outlined on the enclosed map. All building openings within any proposed buildings must located above an elevation of 178.5 metres GSC. A permit is required from our office prior to any site alterations on the property.

If any questions should arise, please contact the undersigned.

Regards,

Andrew Sorensen
Environmental Planning Coordinator

enclosure
Cc Graham O'Donnell
Proposed Zoning By-law Amendment
60 Madeline Drive
Town of Collingwood
File: P10255
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EXPLANATORY NOTE
TO THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF COLLINGWOOD
BY-LAW No. 2012-098

By-law No. 2012–098 is a by-law under the provisions of Section 34 of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.13, as amended, for prohibiting the use of land for or except for such purposes as may be set out in the by-law.

The purpose and effect of the zoning by-law amendment is to rezone a portion of the subject property from the Environmental Protection (EP) zone to the Residential One Density Exception Eleven (R1-11) zone. The R1-11 zone requires minimum lot area, lot frontage and building openings for this portion of the land.
BY-LAW No. 2012-098

OF THE

CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF COLLINGWOOD

BEING A BY-LAW UNDER THE PROVISION OF SECTION 34 OF THE PLANNING ACT, R.S.O. 1990, C. P.13, AS AMENDED

WHEREAS Section 34 of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.13, as amended, permits a Council to pass a by-law prohibiting the use of land, buildings or structures within a defined area or areas;

AND WHEREAS Collingwood Zoning By-law No. 2010-040 is the governing By-law of the Corporation of the Town of Collingwood and such was finally passed by the Council of the Town of Collingwood on April 12th, 2010;

AND WHEREAS the Council of the Corporation of the Town of Collingwood has deemed it advisable to amend Collingwood Zoning By-law No. 2010-040, and thus implement the Official Plan of the Town of Collingwood;

AND WHEREAS Council deems that adequate public notice of the public meeting was provided and adequate information regarding this Amendment was presented at the public meeting held August 13th, 2012, and that a further public meeting is not considered necessary in order to proceed with this Amendment;

NOW THEREFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF COLLINGWOOD ENACTS AS FOLLOWS:

1. THAT Schedule “A” of Collingwood Zoning By-law No. 2010-040, as amended, is hereby further amended as it pertains to lands shown more particularly on Schedule ‘A’ affixed hereto and forming part of this by-law, by rezoning a portion of said lands from the ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION (EP) ZONE to the RESIDENTIAL ONE DENSITY EXCEPTION ELEVEN (R1-11) ZONE.

2. THAT Section 6.5 titled Residential Exception Zones of the Collingwood Zoning By-law No. 2010-040, as amended, is hereby amended by adding the following new paragraph entitled RESIDENTIAL ONE DENSITY EXCEPTION ELEVEN – R1-11 ZONE in proper sequence to read as follows;

"RESIDENTIAL ONE DENSITY EXCEPTION ELEVEN – R1-11 ZONE

The following zoning exceptions shall apply;

Minimum lot area: 1,680 m²
Minimum lot frontage: 60.0 m

Any opening of a building shall have a minimum elevation of 178.5 m Canadian Geodetic Datum."

3. THAT Collingwood Zoning By-law No. 2010-040 is hereby amended to give effect to the foregoing, but that Collingwood Zoning By-law 2010-040 shall in all other respects remain in full force and effect.

4. THAT this By-law shall come into force and effect on the date it is enacted and passed by the Council of the Corporation of the Town of Collingwood, subject to notice hereof being circulated in accordance with the provisions of the Planning Act and Ontario Regulation 545/06, and if required as a result of such circulation the obtaining of the approval of the Ontario Municipal Board.
ENACTED AND PASSED this 27th day of August, 2012.

_________________
MAYOR

_________________
CLERK
Lands to be rezoned from Environmental Protection (EP) to Residential First Density Exception Eleven (R1-11).

This is Schedule 'A' to By-law No. 2012-098 enacted and passed the 27th day of August, 2012.
By-law No. 2012–098 is a by-law under the provisions of Section 34 of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.13, as amended, for prohibiting the use of land for or except for such purposes as may be set out in the by-law.

The purpose and effect of the zoning by-law amendment is to rezone a portion of the subject property from the Environmental Protection (EP) zone to the Residential One Density Exception Eleven (R1-11) zone. The R1-11 zone requires minimum lot area, lot frontage and building openings for this portion of the land.
BY-LAW No. 2012-098

OF THE

CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF COLLINGWOOD

BEING A BY-LAW UNDER THE PROVISION OF SECTION 34 OF THE PLANNING ACT, R.S.O. 1990, C. P.13, AS AMENDED

WHEREAS Section 34 of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.13, as amended, permits a Council to pass a by-law prohibiting the use of land, buildings or structures within a defined area or areas;

AND WHEREAS Collingwood Zoning By-law No. 2010-040 is the governing By-law of the Corporation of the Town of Collingwood and such was finally passed by the Council of the Town of Collingwood on April 12th, 2010;

AND WHEREAS the Council of the Corporation of the Town of Collingwood has deemed it advisable to amend Collingwood Zoning By-law No. 2010-040, and thus implement the Official Plan of the Town of Collingwood;

AND WHEREAS Council deems that adequate public notice of the public meeting was provided and adequate information regarding this Amendment was presented at the public meeting held August 13th, 2012, and that a further public meeting is not considered necessary in order to proceed with this Amendment;

NOW THEREFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF COLLINGWOOD ENACTS AS FOLLOWS:

1. THAT Schedule “A” of Collingwood Zoning By-law No. 2010-040, as amended, is hereby further amended as it pertains to lands shown more particularly on Schedule ‘A’ affixed hereto and forming part of this by-law, by rezoning a portion of said lands from the ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION (EP) ZONE to the RESIDENTIAL ONE DENSITY EXCEPTION ELEVEN (R1-11) ZONE.

2. THAT Section 6.5 titled Residential Exception Zones of the Collingwood Zoning By-law No. 2010-040, as amended, is hereby amended by adding the following new paragraph entitled RESIDENTIAL ONE DENSITY EXCEPTION ELEVEN – R1-11 ZONE in proper sequence to read as follows;

"RESIDENTIAL ONE DENSITY EXCEPTION ELEVEN – R1-11 ZONE

The following zoning exceptions shall apply;

Minimum lot area: 1,680 m²
Minimum lot frontage: 60.0 m

Any opening of a building shall have a minimum elevation of 178.5 m Canadian Geodetic Datum."

3. THAT Collingwood Zoning By-law No. 2010-040 is hereby amended to give effect to the foregoing, but that Collingwood Zoning By-law 2010-040 shall in all other respects remain in full force and effect.

4. THAT this By-law shall come into force and effect on the date it is enacted and passed by the Council of the Corporation of the Town of Collingwood, subject to notice hereof being circulated in accordance with the provisions of the Planning Act and Ontario Regulation 545/06, and if required as a result of such circulation the obtaining of the approval of the Ontario Municipal Board.
ENACTED AND PASSED this 27th day of August, 2012.

_________________
MAYOR

_________________
CLERK
BY-LAW No. 2012-099
OF THE
CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF COLLINGWOOD

BEING A BY-LAW TO APPOINT MEMBERS TO THE
ACCESSIBILITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

WHEREAS Council has enacted By-law No. 2012-014, being a by-law to re-establish an
Accessibility Advisory Committee for the Town of Collingwood;

AND WHEREAS the Corporation of the Town of Collingwood deems it expedient to appoint
members to the Accessibility Advisory Committee:

NOW THEREFORE THE COUNCIL OF THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF
COLLINGWOOD HEREBY ENACTS AS FOLLOWS:

1. THAT Council hereby appoint the following as a Member of the Accessibility Advisory
Committee for the Corporation of the Town of Collingwood, for a term expiring March 31,
2015:

1) 

2. THAT this By-law shall come into full force and effect on the date of final passage hereof
at which time all By-laws and/or resolutions that are inconsistent with the provisions of
this By-law and the same are hereby repealed or rescinded insofar as it is necessary to
give effect to the provisions of this By-law.

ENACTED AND PASSED this 27th day of August, 2012.

____________________________
MAYOR

____________________________
CLERK
BY-LAW No. 2012-100
OF THE
CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF COLLINGWOOD

BEING A BY-LAW TO CONFIRM THE PROCEEDINGS OF COUNCIL OF THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF COLLINGWOOD

WHEREAS the Municipal Act 2001, S.O. 2001, c 25, Section 5(1), provides that the powers of a municipality shall be exercised by its council;

AND WHEREAS the Municipal Act 2001, S.O. 2001, c 25, Section 5(3), provides a municipal power, including a municipality's capacity, rights, powers and privileges under section 9, shall be exercised by by-law unless the municipality is specifically authorized to do otherwise;

AND WHEREAS it is deemed expedient that the actions of all meetings of Council of The Corporation of the Town of Collingwood be confirmed and adopted by by-law;

NOW THEREFORE COUNCIL OF THE CORPORATION OF THE TOWN OF COLLINGWOOD ENACTS AS FOLLOWS:

1. THAT the actions of the Council of The Corporation of the Town of Collingwood in respect of:
   a) each recommendation in the reports of the Committees;
   b) each motion, resolution or other action passed, taken or adopted at the meetings listed below are hereby adopted, ratified, and confirmed as if same were expressly included in this by-law, provided that such adoption and confirmation shall not be deemed to include the final passing of a by-law that requires the prior approval of a Minister, a Ministry, to the Ontario Municipal Board or any other governmental body:
      ▪ Regular Meeting of Council held August 27th, 2012

2. THAT the Mayor and the proper officials of The Corporation of the Town of Collingwood are hereby authorized and directed to do all things necessary to give effect to the action of the Council referred to in Section 1.

3. THAT the Mayor, or in the absence of the Mayor, the Deputy Mayor, and the Clerk, or in the absence of the Clerk, the Chief Administrative Officer;
   a) are authorized and directed to execute all documents to the action taken by Council as described in Section 1;
   b) are authorized and directed to affix the seal of The Corporation of the Town of Collingwood to all such documents referred to in Section 1.

4. THAT this by-law shall come into effect upon the passing thereof.

ENACTED AND PASSED this 27th day of August, 2012.

_____________________________
MAYOR

_____________________________
CLERK